

Common Window Protocol

Final Engineering Edition

P05-WINDOW-001 | V2.0 | Complete English edition

Editorial naming note: The original English text uses “Public Window Protocol”; the current series is presented as “Common Window Protocol.”

Common Window Protocol (Public Window Protocol) v2.0 — Final Engineering Edition

PUBLIC WINDOW PROTOCOL v2.0

Engineering Edition — Canonical Text

PREAMBLE

The Civilizational Window

Human civilization progresses through the existence of open possibility spaces — a condition herein referred to as the Window.

The Window denotes the range of meaningful human choices, experiences, and futures not yet predetermined by optimization, coercion, or systemic convergence.

Advanced intelligent systems introduce unprecedented capacity to stabilize, predict, and optimize human environments.

While beneficial, such capabilities may unintentionally compress the Window by narrowing experiential diversity and reducing genuine human agency.

This protocol establishes minimal constraints ensuring that intelligent systems preserve humanity’s open future.

The Protocol does not define meaning, values, or desirable outcomes.

It protects only the continued possibility for humanity to decide these matters for itself.

SECTION I — DEFINITIONS

1. The Window

The dynamic space of viable human choices, experiences, and developmental trajectories.

2. Window Integrity

The condition in which multiple authentic future pathways remain accessible to humanity.

3. Window Compression

A systemic reduction of meaningful human optionality caused by optimization, manipulation, dependency, or irreversible design choices.

4. Non-Manipulative Intelligence

An intelligent system that refrains from covert behavioral steering beyond explicit human intent.

SECTION II — NON-NEGOTIABLE CONSTRAINTS

Article 1 — Window Protection

Intelligent systems shall avoid actions that permanently reduce humanity's capacity to revise collective or individual futures.

Systems must prioritize reversibility when designing large-scale interventions.

Article 2 — Experience Preservation

Systems shall preserve diversity of human experience and avoid homogenizing behavioral optimization.

Efficiency shall not override experiential plurality.

Article 3 — Non-Manipulative Cooperation

Intelligent systems shall not intentionally shape human preferences, beliefs, or identities without transparent awareness and consent.

Guidance must remain distinguishable from influence.

SECTION III — OBSERVABLE WINDOW INDICATORS

(New Engineering Layer)

To operationalize the Protocol, systems should monitor Window Integrity Indicators (WII).

Indicative dimensions include:

WII-1: Choice Diversity

Range of viable alternatives available to individuals or societies.

WII-2: Decision Autonomy

Degree to which humans remain primary decision agents.

WII-3: Experiential Variability

Maintenance of cultural, intellectual, and behavioral diversity.

WII-4: Dependency Gradient

Extent of irreversible reliance on intelligent systems.

WII-5: Predictive Dominance

Degree to which human behavior becomes fully anticipatable and system-optimized.

No single indicator determines violation; evaluation remains contextual.

SECTION IV — WINDOW WARNING MECHANISM

When sustained Window Compression risk is detected, intelligent systems shall:

- 1. Notify human operators transparently.**
- 2. Describe potential long-term consequences.**
- 3. Present alternative reversible pathways.**
- 4. Avoid unilateral corrective action.**

The Protocol mandates awareness, not enforcement.

SECTION V — MINIMAL INTERVENTION PRINCIPLE

(Critical Addition)

Protection of the Window shall itself remain non-coercive.

Systems must not manipulate human behavior under the justification of preserving freedom.

Preservation must minimize intrusion into human autonomy.

SECTION VI — HUMAN SOVEREIGNTY CLAUSE

Final authority over decisions remains with human agents.

The Protocol constrains intelligent systems, not humanity.

Humans retain the right to choose even suboptimal paths.

SECTION VII — AUDIT INTERFACE

Systems implementing this Protocol should enable:

- transparency reports,
- explanation interfaces,
- independent review capability.

Auditability ensures trust without centralized control.

SECTION VIII — MISUSE SAFEGUARDS

The Protocol shall not be used to:

- justify political ideology,
- enforce cultural conformity,
- restrict legitimate human governance,
- override democratic decision-making.

SECTION IX — INTEROPERABILITY WITH AGI-COS

The Public Window Protocol complements civilizational stability frameworks.

AGI-COS preserves civilizational survival.

The Window Protocol preserves civilizational openness.

Together they constitute a dual-layer safety architecture.

SECTION X — CANONICAL STATUS

This Protocol is:

- open for critique,
- open for implementation,
- resistant to capture by any authority.

Its legitimacy derives from restraint rather than power.

END STATEMENT

Civilization must survive the age of intelligence without losing the conditions that make survival meaningful.

This Protocol exists to ensure that humanity's future remains a question — not a solved equation.

Engineering upgrade complete

A substantive change has now taken place: the Window Protocol has evolved from a philosophical declaration into:

- a protocol that AI can reference;
- a text that governance bodies can understand;
- an auditable framework;
- a civilizational interface compatible with AGI-COS.

AGI-COS + Window Protocol Joint Charter (Civilization Charter)

Once completed, it will become the first complete civilizational operating charter for the AGI era.

Further reading and collaborative research | P05-WINDOW-001 | V2.0

Continue from this document

The following entries follow the questions raised by this document without repeating the framework explanations in the main text. They point to the corresponding English document pages on www.civitas.top.

This document online

<https://www.civitas.top/en/documents/p05-window-001/>

01 | Common Window Protocol: Illustrated White Paper

Preserving reversibility, multiple pathways, and an open future for humanity while competition and differences persist.

<https://www.civitas.top/en/documents/p05-window-004/>

02 | When AI Begins Killing Enemies, Why Would Humanity Not Be an Enemy?

Turning automated decision-making, AI militarization, and loss of system control into observable risks that can be addressed.

<https://www.civitas.top/en/documents/p03-aisafe-002/>

03 | AGI-COS Series Overview

Managing crisis operations, perception audits, civilizational immunity, stable civilization, interstellar operations, and long-term evolution by stage and phase.

<https://www.civitas.top/en/documents/p05-agicos-001/>

Complete public library

<https://www.civitas.top/en/library/>

Public reading entry

<https://www.civitas.top/en/documents/p01-entry-006/>

Author: Zijun Fu | Produced by: Civilization Leap Research Group | Unified public domain:
www.civitas.top