

Nebula Dream Garden Specialist Framework

A network that expands happiness by connecting dreams, resources, capabilities, relationships, culture, and real-world testing

The whole is sufficient to safeguard continued existence without engulfing individuals; resources flow efficiently without turning people into instruments of efficiency; life can be happy, interesting, and enjoyable, while new meaning continually emerges and is carried forward.

Author: Zijun Fu

Produced by: Civilization Leap Research Group

Version: V1.1, enhanced edition on allocating scarce resources and support after failure

Status: Specialist companion to the Nebula General Framework / master manuscript on action for happiness

Date: July 2026

Contents

01 Position and relationship to the Happiness Ladder

02 What Dream Garden is not

03 The scales and types of dreams and the right to express them

04 A nine-step operating process

05 Connecting resources, capabilities, and communities

06 Priorities for scarce resources and handling conflicts

07 Failure, pausing, changing direction, and re-entry

08 Cultural encounters and interaction among diverse forms of happiness

09 Relationships among the whole, groups, and individuals

10 Boundaries concerning children, vulnerable wishes, data, and commerce

11 Stages, phases, and entry points for validation

12 Governance, feedback, and acceptance criteria

1. Position and relationship to the Happiness Ladder

Dream Garden is a network within Nebula that expands happiness by connecting the wishes, dreams, capabilities, resources, settings, relationships, and cultures of individuals and groups, so that they can be seen, understood, tested, coordinated, and gradually brought into reality.

The Happiness Ladder defines which conditions for happiness civilization must guarantee and open up. Dream Garden turns specific wishes voluntarily expressed by subjects into actions they can understand, try, and leave. The former is a map of conditions; the latter is a network for action. Dream Garden is not the highest level of the Happiness Ladder, nor does it require wishes to become projects of meaning.

Dream Garden does not promise that every dream will come true or divide dreams into noble and inferior categories. It lowers the threshold between an isolated wish and a real-world attempt, prevents failure from immediately destroying a person's means of survival, and allows people to pause, change, and start again.

2. What Dream Garden is not

- It is not an automatic wish-fulfillment system.
- It is not an incubator supporting only major public projects.
- It is not a consumption platform where Contribution Value buys scarce experiences.
- It is not a moral machine that packages personal dreams as social value.
- It does not let algorithms choose lives for people according to personality, talent, and potential.
- It is not a civilizational theme park that unifies global cultures and ways of being happy.
- It is not an intervention system that continuously tracks unfinished wishes “for your own good.”

3. The scales and types of dreams and the right to express them

Scale	Examples	Support principles
Individual	Learning a skill, travel, creation, changing one's life, repairing relationships, joining research, or simply having an experience	Voluntary participation, low-threshold trials, and permission to change and exit
Group	Shared family wishes, community spaces, local festivals, interest-based communities, and cultural preservation	Shared responsibility, with protection for minority opinions and members' exit
Collective	Major research, ecological restoration, human infrastructure, deep-space exploration, and civilizational memory	Public justification, long-term responsibility, intergenerational resources, and humanity's highest decision-making weight

Wishes may be expressed through language, images, actions, questions, stories, or unfinished ideas. Subjects need not first possess professional plans, an audience, or persuasive skills. They also have the right not to explain, disclose, or continue. The system cannot turn one expression into a lifelong commitment.

4. A nine-step operating process

1. Expressing a wish: the subject initiates it; it is not inferred by default from profiles and behavior.
2. Understanding intent: distinguish what is genuinely wanted, irreplaceable, changeable, or only a passing imagining.
3. Checking reality: identify legality, safety, resource burdens, others' rights, and irreversible risks.
4. Matching capabilities and resources: connect mentors, peers, spaces, equipment, knowledge, funding, computing power, and public services.
5. A minimal trial: favor a low-cost first step that allows rollback and learning.

6. Forming a community: clarify membership, authorization, responsibility, benefits, duration, and exit.
7. Execution and companionship: Sparks and agents support planning, connections, and execution without deciding for the subject.
8. Real-world feedback: record joy, difficulties, harm, learning, failure, and changes of direction.
9. Ending, pausing, changing direction, or continuing: dreams may be fulfilled, fail, be sealed away, change, or become long-term projects of meaning.

4.1 Understanding must not become psychological possession

The system's understanding of a wish must remain open to challenge and correction. Inferences about a subject's motives, relationships, trauma, or long-term direction must not automatically enter public-resource decisions or become advertising, credit, recruitment, or personality models.

5. Connecting resources, capabilities, and communities

Source	Connection method	Boundary
Public resources	Open access periods, cultural venues, natural spaces, education, and public services	Must not displace basic public services
Resource Pool	Voluntarily shared spaces, equipment, transport, accommodation, and experiential resources	Rights, wear and loss, returns, and exit are explicit
Professional communities	Mentoring, technology, medicine, arts, research, and organizational support	Professionals do not choose lives for subjects
Individual and group contributions	Time, care, experience, companionship, translation, and cross-cultural connections	Contribution does not buy relationships or control over dreams
AGI and agents	Understanding needs, exploring plans, matching, execution assistance, and risk alerts	Transparent identity; revocable involvement; no fabrication of commitments

6. Priorities for scarce resources and handling conflicts

Scarce resources cannot be allocated using a single fixed total score. V1.1 establishes a sequential framework: address non-negotiable boundaries first, then select legitimate mechanisms suited to the resource type.

10. Rights and safety screening: exclude proposals that violate basic rights or others' safety, and proposals involving irreversible risks.
11. Structural compensation: make necessary access adjustments for long-standing lack of opportunity, disability, caregiving burdens, and geographic or cultural disadvantage.
12. Matching resource types: basic resources follow rights; professional resources follow capability and responsibility; experiential resources emphasize rotation and diversity.
13. Minimum viable trials: when resources are extremely scarce, favor small-scale, short-cycle validation with recoverable resources.
14. Combining mechanisms: rotation, lotteries, anonymous review, affected-subject participation, professional examination, and public deliberation.
15. Explanation and appeal: subjects can learn the main grounds, conflicts of interest, and reasons for non-selection, and request review.

Resource type	Priority mechanism	Supporting mechanism
Resources related to basic guarantees	Rights, need, and urgency	Nearby access and structural compensation
Ordinary experiential resources	Rotation, random opportunities, and balanced access over time	Diversity and low-cost alternatives
Professional equipment and research resources	Quality of the problem, capability, responsibility, and feasibility	Allocations for newcomers and minimal experiments
High-risk or irreversible resources	Statutory review, professional responsibility, affected subjects, and human decision-making	Staged gates and independent audits
Resources of cultures and minority traditions	Participation by the actual cultural subjects in defining them	Community authorization and boundaries against commercialization

7. Failure, pausing, changing direction, and re-entry

Failure must not immediately destroy survival, nor may it automatically be interpreted as growth. Failure is first a real-world result that must be faced honestly.

- Basic living guarantees are not lost because a project fails, a participant exits, or a direction changes.
- Failure records distinguish external conditions, errors of judgment, execution problems, harm, unknowns, and experience already gained.
- Participants' narratives of growth must not conceal the losses of those harmed.
- Pausing, cooling-off periods, reassessment, and deleting unnecessary wish records are supported.
- One failure must not become a permanent credit label or the basis for an algorithmic judgment of “low potential.”
- Re-entry does not mean unlimited repeated use of the same scarce resources; others' opportunities and necessary responsibilities for repair should be considered.

8. Cultural encounters and interaction among diverse forms of happiness

Encounters among cultures do not mean their absorption into one another; interaction among diverse forms of happiness does not mean a uniform happiness standard.

Dream Garden enables people from different regions, languages, traditions, ages, and ways of life to enter one another's worlds without turning difference into consumable spectacle. The actual cultural subjects should help define cultural experiences and explain taboos, context, interests, and refusal rights. Commercial returns and the use of images and data must be shared and negotiated with the people who sustain those cultures.

- Preserve local languages, rhythms of life, rituals, and non-mainstream aesthetics.
- Avoid algorithms that recommend only globally high-traffic cultures.
- Support two-way learning rather than fixing one side as an object to be watched.
- Allow cultural subjects to refuse access to certain knowledge, places, and rituals.
- Cross-cultural projects must provide translation, mechanisms to repair misunderstandings, and mechanisms that return benefits to cultural communities.

9. Relationships among the whole, groups, and individuals

Individual dreams need not serve the whole, but must not harm others or shared continued existence. Group dreams cannot suppress members in the name of a “shared wish.” Collective dreams must explain long-term public-resource use, risk-bearing, exit upon failure, and future generations' right to decide anew.

Relationship across scales	Questions that must be answered
The whole supports individuals	Does it provide basic safety, opportunities, resources, and a buffer against failure without demanding loyalty in return?
Individuals enter groups	Is participation informed, voluntary, and open to exit? Are contributions, benefits, and responsibilities clear?
Groups draw on collective resources	Why is public support needed? Who benefits and who bears the burden? Does it crowd out disadvantaged people's needs?
Long-term collective dreams	How irreversible are they, what are the intergenerational costs, and how do states and human rights-bearing subjects participate?

10. Boundaries concerning children, vulnerable wishes, data, and commerce

- Minors' wishes must not be directly commercialized, made public, or turned into permanent profiles.
- Wishes made vulnerable by illness, loss, loneliness, poverty, or relationship crises require stronger cooling-off periods, human review, and spending limits.
- “Helping your dream come true” must not be used to induce borrowing, dependence, authorization over relationships, or surrender of personality data.
- The private domain of wishes is excluded by default from advertising, recruitment, insurance, credit, and model training.
- Celebrities, brands, and platforms may supply resources, but must not gain control of subjects' directions or continuing relationships.
- Subjects can delete, seal, or anonymize wish records and require derived proxies to stop.

11. Stages, phases, and entry points for validation

Stage	Form of Dream Garden
Startup stage	Integrate education, tourism, culture, public-benefit initiatives, maker communities, research, and sharing platforms; establish entry points for expressing wishes and minimal matching
Transition stage	Connect deeply with the Resource Pool, contribution mechanisms, cross-cultural governance, and subjects' Sparks to form city-level and cross-regional networks
Stable stage	AGI undertakes large-scale understanding of needs, resource matching, and long-term assistance; subjects retain direction-setting, exit, and life-change rights

Dream Garden usually begins with subject-initiated sensing, moves into reality through aggregation, coordination, decision-making, and execution, records real consequences in the testing phase, and ultimately enters contraction, redirection, or continuity of meaning. The system must not remain permanently active because a wish is unfinished.

11.1 Initial city-level validation

- Public networks for interests and learning resources across age groups.
- Low-burden experiential projects for caregivers, older people, disabled people, and low-income subjects.
- Projects enabling two-way encounters between local and outside cultures.
- Small-scale trial funds for personal dreams and a Resource Pool of unused spaces.
- Structural compensation mechanisms for groups long denied opportunities.
- Complete records of application costs, realization rates, experiences of happiness, harm, exits, and resource fairness.

12. Governance, feedback, and acceptance criteria

- Do not create the false promise that “anything is possible if you try hard enough.”
- Do not once again concentrate wealth, traffic, expressive ability, and Contribution Value into eligibility to pursue dreams.
- AGI must not decide subjects' life directions or turn predicted potential into instructions for their path.
- Grounds for resource allocation, conflicts of interest, and the costs of failure must be explainable and contestable.
- Failure, exit, forgetting, deletion of records, and starting again must be permitted.
- Acceptance considers not only project numbers and success rates, but also the distribution of opportunity, subjects' freedom, cultural diversity, responses to harm, and long-term relationships.

Reading guide and personalized further reading

P06-NEBULA-005 | V1.1 | Current Dream Garden concept / master manuscript on action for happiness

This manuscript's role in the system

Connects the wishes, dreams, capabilities, resources, settings, relationships, and cultures of individuals and groups so that wishes can be seen, understood, verified, coordinated, and brought into real-world trials through low-threshold, reversible steps.

Current stage and boundaries

Dream Garden is not an automatic wish-fulfillment system. It does not use Contribution Value to buy scarce experiences or let algorithms choose lives for people. Failure, pausing, changing direction, deleting records, and re-entry are all legitimate paths; basic living guarantees cannot be lost through failure. The private domain of wishes is excluded by default from advertising, recruitment, insurance, credit, and model training.

Further reading

Toward early exploration | Dream Garden Platform Development White Paper

<https://www.civitas.top/en/documents/p05-dream-001/>

Toward practical methods | Leap Modules

<https://www.civitas.top/en/documents/p05-dream-002/>

Toward the future city | Gaia City

<https://www.civitas.top/en/documents/p05-dream-003/>

Toward resource facts | Civilization Leap Resource Pool Complete Design Master Manuscript

<https://www.civitas.top/en/documents/p05-resource-003/>

Toward recognition of value | Contribution Value System White Paper

<https://www.civitas.top/en/documents/p05-cv-001/>

Toward the subject's entry point | Spark Emergence of Meaning System

<https://www.civitas.top/en/documents/p04-spark-002/>

Toward real life | Living Civilization Volume II

<https://www.civitas.top/en/documents/p04-living-003/>

Complete public library: <https://www.civitas.top/en/library/>

Theory and concepts come first; specific engineering must be refined afresh once subjects, resources, jurisdictions, responsibilities, and real-world conditions are clear.