

Nebula Startup and Transition Dynamics

Who initiates it, why subjects connect, how coordination gains emerge, and how local efforts scale

The whole is sufficient to safeguard continued existence without engulfing the individual; resources can flow efficiently without turning people into instruments of efficiency; life can be happy, interesting, and enjoyable, while new meaning continually emerges and is carried forward.

Author: Zijun Fu

Produced by: Civilization Leap Research Group

Version: V1.0 Institutional Engineering Master Manuscript

Status: New Nebula V1.1 specialist manuscript / startup and transition paths

Date: July 2026

Contents

- 01 Problem definition and basic principles
- 02 Initiators and lawful organizational vehicles
- 03 Practical motivations of different subjects
- 04 Initial matters to connect and selection criteria
- 05 Matter-specific authorization and coordination gains
- 06 Funding, resources, and returns
- 07 A six-step transition path
- 08 Power, responsibility, and organizational relationships
- 09 Failure, exit, compensation, and rollback
- 10 Diffusion mechanisms and conditions for scaling
- 11 Minimum international and cross-regional interfaces
- 12 Stage gates, risks, and acceptance

1 Problem definition and basic principles

Nebula begins within existing reality. It does not depend on every subject first understanding and accepting a complete theory of civilization, nor require existing states, enterprises, and organizations to hand power to a new center. It must first demonstrate in specific matters that coordination is safer, more effective, and more economical than operating alone, without weakening subjects' rights, statutory responsibilities, or ability to exit.

V1.0 has already explained what Nebula should be. This specialist manuscript addresses the motivations driving transition from present systems to Nebula: who is willing to act first, why it is worthwhile, what to connect, where investment comes from, how existing powers and responsibilities are preserved, how to roll back after failure, and how local success spreads.

- Coordination gains rather than concentration of power;
- Specific matters rather than wholesale takeover;
- Calculable self-interest rather than moral mobilization;
- Dual-track operation rather than replacement in one stroke;
- Real-world validation rather than conceptual acceptance;
- Stage gates rather than forced upgrades on a timetable;
- Reversible failure, compensable harm, and revocable permissions.

2 Initiators and lawful organizational vehicles

Initiator	Suitable role	Legitimacy and boundaries
State-authorized experimental zone or research center	Set institutional boundaries, coordinate cross-department pilots, and provide public funds and statutory interfaces	Does not acquire all property rights, data, or permanent jurisdiction over participants
City governments and public institutions	Select real problems, connect public services and infrastructure, and organize public participation	Statutory responsibilities cannot be outsourced to a platform or AGI
Industry consortia and business alliances	Share standards, inventory, capacity, equipment, and supply-chain capabilities	Clear antitrust safeguards, trade-secret protection, returns, and exit
Public trusts, foundations, and social organizations	Support public-benefit resources, vulnerable subjects, and cultural projects	Open governance and restricted purposes; no capitalization of personhood or relationships
Research institutions and universities	Develop models, standards, evaluation, simulation, and independent auditing	Research does not confer long-term rights to subjects' data
Communities and civic collectives	Identify everyday-life problems, operate local projects, and oversee real-world consequences	Voluntary membership, minority protection, and exit

The first organizational vehicle should preferably combine state authorization, city-level implementation, multi-subject governance, and independent auditing, bringing statutory power, technical capability, public trust, and real-world operation together.

3 Practical motivations of different subjects

Subject	Problems before connecting	Potential gains	Main concerns and responses
State and public sector	Interlocking risks, departmental silos, duplicated construction, and fiscal pressure	Earlier warnings, cross-domain responses, service accessibility, and a long-term resource view	Unclear powers and responsibilities: retain statutory responsibility and matter-specific authorization
Cities	Complex infrastructure, diverse needs, idle resources, and difficult emergency coordination	A city-scale loop of supply and demand, maintenance, resource pools, and public feedback	System dependence: dual-track operation and local degradation
Enterprises	Fluctuations in inventory, capacity, equipment, and supply chains; high cross-industry cooperation costs	Lower idleness and procurement costs, new markets, resilience, and standard interfaces	Data and competitive risks: minimum sharing and verifiable outputs
Resource owners	Idleness, maintenance burdens, and insufficient opportunities for use	Rental, reciprocity, maintenance, insurance, added value, and recognition of contributions	Wear and loss of control: use restrictions, compensation, and exit
Individuals and families	Living costs, time pressure, isolated caregiving, and opportunities constrained by geography and wealth	Basic guarantees, coordinated services, time freed up, and cultural and dream resources	Surveillance and scoring: private-domain operation as the norm, refusal, and the right not to be optimized
AGI and technical systems	Operation amid fragmentation, conflicting rules, and unclear responsibilities	Clear identity, authorization, responsibility, interoperability, and a long-term environment for symbiosis	Misuse or being made to bear blame: human goals, logs, and responsibility anchors

3.1 Self-interest as the starting point and optimization for the whole

Nebula does not require subjects to give up self-interest first. Institutional design should clarify the safety, economic, and developmental benefits of cooperation, aligning reasonable self-interest more often with the continued existence of groups and the whole. Only when the costs of noncooperation, duplication, and systemic risks become visible can coordination move from a moral choice to an acceptable optimal strategy.

4 Initial matters to connect and selection criteria

Not every domain is suitable for simultaneous startup. Initial matters should have clear real-world problems, visible cross-subject gains, clear boundaries, controllable risks, minimal data, verifiability within three years, and rollback after failure.

Criterion	Question to assess
Public necessity	Does it directly concern basic survival, public services, resource waste, or widespread happiness?
Coordination gains	Is it difficult for one department or enterprise to solve independently?
Measurable baseline	Can a baseline be established for current costs, efficiency, accessibility, risks, and rights issues?
Reversibility	Can the original system be restored if the new interface fails?

Criterion	Question to assess
Data minimization	Can it be accomplished through catalogs, status, and verifiable outputs without centralizing sensitive data?
Alignable interests	Do participants obtain explainable direct or long-term benefits?
Governance capacity	Are there a statutory entity, responsible persons, and structures for appeals and auditing?

4.1 Recommended initial operating loops

- Urban infrastructure maintenance and cross-department fault coordination;
- Time-sharing of public cultural, sports, natural, and idle spaces;
- Matching idle enterprise equipment with public research and vocational education needs;
- Limited coordination of care, healthcare, transport, and community services;
- Risk views for food, energy, medical supplies, and disaster logistics;
- Low-risk, small-scale, multicultural experience projects in the Dream Garden.

5 Matter-specific authorization and coordination gains

What connects is not an entire organization, but specified matters, data fields, resources, time periods, and responsibilities. Original subjects retain statutory powers, property rights, professional judgment, and local operation. The Nebula coordination layer receives only the cataloging, matching, simulation, scheduling, and auditing permissions needed to complete the shared task.

1. Define the specific problem and what existing subjects cannot accomplish independently.
2. List the powers, responsibilities, data, and exit conditions that each subject retains.
3. Authorize only the minimum interfaces needed for cross-subject coordination.
4. Establish agreements on shared returns, costs, risks, and compensation.
5. Set automatic permission expiry, renewed authorization for extensions, and rollback points to original systems.
6. On completion, return resources, withdraw agents, and disclose results.

The Nebula coordination layer acquires limited capability to complete shared matters, not comprehensive management authority over connected subjects.

6 Funding, resources, and returns

Source	Suitable uses	Constraints
Public budgets and dedicated funds	Infrastructure, public services, standards, auditing, and protection for vulnerable groups	Public budgets, staged disbursement, and disclosure of failures
Enterprise investment and joint procurement	Industry interfaces, equipment, capacity, supply chains, and commercial pilots	Rules for returns, antitrust safeguards, and trade-secret protection
Resource custody and returns from use	Shared operation of spaces, equipment, vehicles, computing capacity, and services	Unchanged ownership, compensation for wear and loss, and exit
Public trusts and public-benefit funds	Basic opportunities, culture, care, dreams, and projects of low commercial value	Locked purposes, multi-party oversight, and no capitalization of subjects
Research and international cooperation funds	Models, standards, safety validation, and minimum cross-border interfaces	Research ethics, minimal data, and reproducible review of results

Source	Suitable uses	Constraints
Subsequent savings and incremental returns	Return some reductions in maintenance, inventory, energy, and management costs to the system	Future savings must not conceal actual current costs

6.1 Principles for allocating returns

Returns include direct monetary income, cost savings, value added through maintenance, risk reduction, improved public services, time freed up, and stronger capabilities. Monetizable returns are taxed according to law and allocated by contract; public gains go to public services and risk reserves. Contribution records carry facts only and do not replace money, property rights, or basic rights.

7 A six-step transition path

Step	Mode of operation	Conditions for proceeding
1. Shadow observation	Do not change the original system; establish only catalogs, baselines, simulations, and risk views	Preliminary evidence of data quality and coordination gains
2. Parallel advice	Nebula provides warnings, matching, and proposals; original subjects decide and execute	Advice outperforms or complements original processes over multiple real-world rounds
3. Controlled execution	Agents execute low-risk, reversible tasks with task-by-task authorization	Reliable logs, takeover, compensation, and exception handling
4. Dual-track operation	Old and new systems undertake some tasks simultaneously and are compared	Stable services, intact rights, and acceptable costs and risks
5. Local migration and domain autonomy	Validated modules become the main path, while original systems remain available for rollback	Long-term performance, audit, legal, and trust conditions are met
6. Stable autonomy with continuing degradation capability	AGI undertakes most routine management; humans focus on goals, oversight, and bottom lines	Autonomy can be reduced, systems can be separated, and no single irreplaceable center exists

Any step may be maintained, reversed, or canceled. Transition is not a technological mission that must be completed. It continues only when the next step is better overall for continued existence, happiness, rights, efficiency, and resilience.

8 Power, responsibility, and organizational relationships

Nebula does not require transfer of power as a precondition. It uses matter-specific delegation, statutory coordination, and shared responsibility. National and local authorities retain statutory decision-making powers; enterprises retain property rights and operational responsibility; professional institutions retain professional judgment; affected subjects retain their rights, including the rights to be informed, to refuse, and to appeal; AGI performs authorized analysis and execution.

Relationship	What must be explicit
Delegation	Who delegates, what is delegated, duration, data, execution, and termination
Joint decisions	Which matters require multi-subject deliberation, who holds which weights, and the boundaries of veto powers

Relationship	What must be explicit
Execution responsibility	Who deploys, operates, maintains, takes over, and responds to accidents
Interface responsibility	Who detects and remedies data and semantic errors, delays, and disconnection
Public responsibility	Basic services, protection of vulnerable subjects, and long-term risks cannot be outsourced
Exit responsibility	How to restore original systems, return data and resources, and maintain services

9 Failure, exit, compensation, and rollback

- Before each pilot begins, specify definitions of failure, stopping conditions, and who is responsible for rollback.
- Critical public services retain original systems or independently substitutable paths.
- Harm to participants caused by system errors must not be dismissed as innovation risk.
- Compensation funds, insurance, and liability reserves are established alongside pilot budgets.
- Data, resources, and agent permissions of exiting subjects are returned or deleted as agreed.
- Failed results, unmet goals, hidden costs, and affected subjects' views enter public review.
- Large pilot investments must not lower stopping standards.

10 Diffusion mechanisms and conditions for scaling

Pilot diffusion is based on replicable interfaces, responsibilities, returns, and outcomes, rather than publicity, registration counts, or political declarations.

7. Develop portable minimum standards and templates for contracts, data, and auditing.
8. Repeat validation across regions, scales, and cultural conditions.
9. Demonstrate that gains do not depend on particular leaders, subsidies, or a single supplier.
10. Establish multiple suppliers, open interfaces, and local autonomy.
11. Disclose failures and boundaries of applicability, allowing regions to opt out or defer connection.
12. Move from replication of individual matters to coordination across matters; only then consider migration of city-scale underlying systems.

11 Minimum international and cross-regional interfaces

Cross-regional and international Nebula arrangements do not require uniform values or surrender of core capabilities. Priorities are minimum interfaces for verifiable identity, traceable agent behavior, risk-event notification, public-health and disaster warnings, preserved critical-infrastructure interoperability, and attribution in disputes. States' final decision-making powers, data laws, and security boundaries must be respected.

12 Stage gates, risks, and acceptance

Gate	What must be demonstrated
Startup gate	Clear real problems, lawful organizational vehicles, participation incentives, baselines, and exit paths
Execution gate	Available identity, authorization, responsibility, logs, takeover, and compensation
Expansion gate	Performance established across multiple rounds and scenarios without increased harm to rights

Gate	What must be demonstrated
Migration gate	New-system reliability and resilience exceed or match the original system, with feasible rollback
Autonomy gate	AGI capability, safety, auditing, law, social trust, and degradation conditions meet standards

- Prevent a civilizational vision from concealing participants' lack of direct motivation.
- Prevent coordination platforms from acquiring de facto monopolies and permanent data rights.
- Prevent pilot dependence on subsidies, special authorizations, and nonreplicable resources.
- Prevent dual-track operation from creating a responsibility vacuum and prolonged overlapping costs.
- Prevent success stories from showcasing only efficiency while hiding vulnerable subjects and exit costs.

Reading guide and personalized further reading

P06-NEBULA-008 | V1.0 | Master manuscript on real-world startup paths

What this manuscript covers

Who initiates, why subjects connect, how initial matters are selected, how authorization and returns are arranged, and what conditions failure, compensation, exit, rollback, and diffusion require.

Current stage

Startup / transition stages. This is institutional and conceptual engineering; it does not imply existing implementation entities, funding, jurisdictions, or replicable real-world project parameters.

Continue reading

1. Upward | Nebula Startup Edition General Framework

Return initiators, matters, and diffusion conditions to the overall startup edition.

<https://www.civitas.top/en/documents/p06-nebula-001/>

2. Toward resources | Nebula Startup Edition Resource Pool Specialist Manuscript

Verify the resources, rights, and exit arrangements needed for initial matters.

<https://www.civitas.top/en/documents/p06-nebula-002/>

3. Toward coordination | Nebula Real-World Coordination Layer

Move from connection incentives to feasible real-world combinations and execution responsibilities.

<https://www.civitas.top/en/documents/p06-nebula-003/>

4. Toward governance | Nebula Startup Edition Human-Machine Decision-Making and Governance Specialist Manuscript

Address authorization, responsibility, organizational relationships, appeals, and emergency phases.

<https://www.civitas.top/en/documents/p06-nebula-006/>

5. Toward validation | Nebula City-Scale Integrated Validation Plan

Convert local startup conditions into complete, reversible city-scale validation.

<https://www.civitas.top/en/documents/p06-nebula-009/>

Stable website entry

<https://www.civitas.top/en/documents/p06-nebula-008/>

Nebula family entry

<https://www.civitas.top/en/families/p06-nebula/>

Complete library

<https://www.civitas.top/en/library/>