

Emergent Meaning Cosmology: Public Edition

V6.1-R1

Author: Zijun Fu

Official website: <https://www.civitas.top/en/>

Email: zijunfu@civitas.top

Subject: An ontology of meaning and an ethics of civilization within a framework of layered integration

Frontispiece: The Echo of Silence—Why We Exist, and How We Exist

Version identity

This is the V6.1-R1 public edition of Emergent Meaning Cosmology, intended primarily for readers encountering the Civilization Leap theoretical system for the first time. Through tangible imagery and lived experience, it introduces the foundations of meaning, the human heart as a nexus, Civilization Leap, and the inner structure of a cosmic citizen. This R1 revision synchronizes only the terminology and epistemological boundaries already established in the V6.5 academic master text; it does not rewrite the public edition's main narrative.

Reading boundaries: what this book says, and what it does not claim

This book brings empirical facts, philosophical interpretations, open hypotheses, and literary imagery into a shared inquiry into meaning, without treating them as the same kind of evidence. The public edition retains its ideas and imagery concerning “eternity,” “superconsciousness,” “the soul,” and “informational potential energy.” These are metaphysical postulates, interpretive approaches, or open hypotheses, rather than facts already established by empirical science. They do not replace neuroscience, physics, or other empirical research.

Readers may take a lower-commitment “functional path” through the book. Even without accepting its metaphysical interpretations literally, they can independently discuss the reality of lived experience, the impossibility of making meaning wholly instrumental, continuity through culture and relationships, the limitations of a single scale of value, and advanced technology's amplification of civilizational risks. These public-facing reading boundaries are also what keep this edition aligned with the V6.5 academic master text.

Copyright

© 2026 Zijun Fu

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0).

Objections and feedback are welcome: zijunfu@civitas.top

Contents

1. An Invitation to Read
2. Intellectual Sources
3. Introduction: Why This Book Does Not Believe That “Only Matter Is Real”
4. Chapter Zero. A Reader's Guide: Where Meaning Comes From, How It Takes Root, and How It Can Be Tested—A Map of the Whole Book
5. If You Want to Know the Academic Terms Behind These Metaphors: A Two-Track Terminology Table
6. Chapter One. The Silence of Eternity
7. Chapter Two. The First Echo
8. Chapter Three. Droplets and Rainbows
 - 3.1 Superconsciousness Disperses into Droplets (Souls)
 - 3.2 Differences between Droplets (The Power of the Soul)
 - 3.3 The Memory of Droplets (Chromosomes and Inner Cultivation)
 - 3.4 Droplets Meet Again (Affinity and the Deep Ground of Interstellar Life)
9. Chapter Four. The Rainbow of the Moment
10. Chapter Five. The Two Dimensions of Meaning
 - 5.1 Thickness (M): The Depth and Accumulation of Meaning
 - 5.2 Distinctiveness (D): The Rarity and Irreplaceability of Meaning
 - 5.3 Structural Meaning: The Skeleton of Meaning in This Life
11. Chapter Six. Friction and Flame
12. Chapter Seven. Recursive Echoes
13. Chapter Eight. The Two Paths of Good and Evil
14. Chapter Nine. Equality and Freedom: The Logic of a Symbiotic Civilization
15. Chapter Ten. Respect for Life: The Sacredness of the Bearers of Meaning
16. Chapter Eleven. War and Killing: The Greatest Evil
17. Chapter Twelve. Sacrifice: Meaning at Its Furthest Reach
18. Chapter Thirteen. Primary Symbiosis: A Covenant with Nature
19. Chapter Fourteen. Advanced Symbiosis: An Alliance with Intelligence
20. Chapter Fifteen. Leap: The Stairway of Civilization
21. Leap: From One Person's Inner Mirror to Institutions for Everyone (An Interface for Action)
22. Chapter Sixteen. Perpetuity: Participating in Eternity
23. The Cosmic Citizen: When the Inner Mirror Reflects a Sea of Stars (The Public Edition's Concluding Chapter)
24. Chapter Seventeen. Boundaries and an Invitation
25. Chapter Eighteen. Core Propositions and a Declaration
26. v6.1 Propositions for Interstellar Calibration
27. Afterword: A Shared Exploration of Meaning

An Invitation to Read

This is neither a textbook nor an academic monograph. It is an invitation: to set aside the habitual question “What use is this to me?” and spend a few hours with some of humanity’s oldest questions. Why is there something rather than nothing? Why am I here? Love, pain, creation—what do these experiences that make life worth living mean on the scale of the universe?

These questions have no “correct answers.” Yet for thousands of years, people in different civilizations have arrived at similar intuitions by different paths. This book brings those intuitions together.

Intellectual Sources

This inquiry was not created out of thin air. It draws on similar intuitions reached by human civilizations along different paths: wuji, or the Limitless, and xing, or innate nature, in Chinese civilization; Brahman and Atman in Indian civilization; the “eternal eye” of Islamic Sufism; the African Ubuntu understanding that “I am because we are”; and modern physics’ reflections on information and entropy. They are different fingers pointing toward the same moon. This book attempts to weave what they point toward into a coherent picture.

Introduction: Why This Book Does Not Believe That “Only Matter Is Real”

You may have been taught from childhood that the world is material. Tables and chairs are matter; your body is matter; the stars and moon are matter. Anything that cannot be seen, touched, or measured either does not exist or is merely a “shadow” of matter.

This sounds very “scientific.” Yet you may not have noticed that science has never proved that “only matter exists.” Science does something else: it studies measurable, repeatable, verifiable regularities in the material world. It is highly successful, but that success has a condition: it studies only the part of the world that it can study. Whether that part is all there is is a question science does not, and cannot, answer. A ruler can measure the length of a table, but it cannot tell you “what lies beyond the table.” That is not a fault in the ruler; it is simply its boundary.

“Only matter exists,” then, is not a scientific conclusion but a default belief. It resembles a pair of glasses: wear them long enough and you forget you are wearing them, imagining that what you see is the whole world.

But consider this.

Have you read a poem written a thousand years ago? Li Shangyin has been dead for more than a millennium. His body is long gone; the ink has long faded. Yet when you read “Hard it is to meet, and hard to part,” your heart still aches. That ache is not paper, ink, or sound waves. What is it?

Perhaps you have never been to Africa or touched its drums. Yet when an African rhythm begins, your heartbeat unconsciously follows it, and an emotion you cannot quite name rises from deep within your body. The drumming stops; the feeling remains. What is it?

You have never met the stranger caught in a distant disaster. You do not know him; you share no material interest. Yet the look in his eyes makes you sad and makes you want to help. What is that?

These “whats” are not matter. Yet they really exist. Across a thousand years, across vast distances, across different people, they can light up again within you.

If meaning were merely something secreted by the brain, it should vanish when its original bearer dies. But it does not. It is still here.

Physicists do not accept dark matter as an object of investigation because they have “seen” it. They infer a component of matter not yet directly identified from observable effects such as galaxy rotation and gravitational lensing. The analogy here offers only an epistemological reminder: the fact that something cannot be seen directly does not mean it is unworthy of discussion. This is not scientific proof that “meaning has a nonmaterial reality.” That remains the book's philosophical interpretation, which must remain open to challenge on grounds of logical coherence, explanatory power in relation to experience, and practical consequences.

This book begins with these everyday experiences that you and I share. It invites you to put down, for a moment, the glasses that say “only matter is real,” and look again at moments you may long have overlooked: being moved by a poem, resonating with music, or feeling tenderness in your sadness for a stranger.

In those moments, you are not imagining too much. In those moments, reality is knocking at the door.

Chapter Zero. A Reader's Guide: Where Meaning Comes From, How It Takes Root, and How It Can Be Tested—A Map of the Whole Book

If the later chapters feel somewhat abstract, begin here. This chapter offers a complete map.

For Emergent Meaning Cosmology to hold together, it cannot merely offer a few profound-sounding concepts. It must explain an entire chain of relationships: how eternity unfolds; how that unfolding forms a universe; how the universe gives rise to contemplation of the whole; how that contemplation differentiates into individual perspectives; how those perspectives enter the world; how the world acquires weight in the human heart; how consciousness illuminates that weight, giving rise to “what is worthwhile now”; how this becomes a structure shared by society; and how it finally returns to reality to be tested.

Only if this chain holds and closes its loop can cosmology cease to be a remote, lofty narrative and become a comprehensive understanding that connects existence, life, the world, and the lives you and I lead.

1. Eternity and primordial meaning: the prior ground of unfolding

The starting point of everything is not an empty void, but something harboring the seed of a “wish to unfold.”

Eternity is neither emptiness as we experience it nor a dead, motionless silence. It is not a “thing” we can grasp, but a foundational mode of being prior to the world, to life, and to every expressible distinction between being and nonbeing. It is more like a wordless, undifferentiated, unobjectified primordial “nothing.” Yet this “nothing” is not nihilistic emptiness; it is the deeper ground from which everything can unfold.

Primordial meaning is not a purpose subsequently pasted onto the world, nor an order issued by anyone. It is the prior ground of eternity's “nothing” unfolding into existence's “something”: the root of all meaning, the ground of the source of all things.

Civilizational echoes

Daoism speaks of “the nameless, the beginning of heaven and earth”; Buddhism of “true emptiness and wondrous existence.” The ancients had already touched this secret. The book’s “primordial meaning” simply gives this deep impetus a clearer name, without personifying or mystifying it.

2. Universe, superconsciousness, and soul: from contemplation of the whole to individual perspectives

From “the mirror of the whole” to “the eyes of individuals.”

The universe is not a stage accidentally erected outside primordial meaning. Rather, it is the total field in which primordial meaning unfolds difference, change, order, levels, and complexity. It is primordial meaning’s first manifestation as a whole.

Yet if the universe merely unfolded without contemplation of the whole, it would be mere dispersal. Above the universe, therefore, there must be a level of being that allows its unfolding to be reflected as a whole: superconsciousness. Like an eternal mirror, it reflects everything in the universe without intervening in any of it.

For the mirror to “see” itself, however, it cannot reflect only the whole. That would let it see “everything” but not “each one.” So the mirror disperses into countless droplets. Each droplet is a soul. Initially, the soul is only the starting point of an individual perspective; every subsequent accumulation of experience and expansion across levels results from primordial meaning’s continuing impetus to unfold. The soul is precisely the way primordial meaning enters individual life through superconsciousness.

Civilizational echoes

Ancient India speaks of the unity of Brahman and Atman; Plato of the soul entering the world bearing the Forms. Both offer a metaphor: the whole must differentiate into individual perspectives and, bearing the imprint of a higher level, enter the long journey of manifestation.

3. World and human heart: the first nexus of meaning’s manifestation

The human heart is the sole gateway through which higher-dimensional meaning takes root in reality.

A soul that remains only potential has not truly manifested. It must enter an interface with reality: the world. The world is not simply a smaller universe. It is the interface with reality that a soul encounters after entering space and time, relationships, embodiment, culture, and institutions. Here souls can meet, act, encounter limits, be moved, and be shaped.

Yet the world does not automatically become meaning. At first, there are only events, appearances, relationships, constraints, and impacts. For these to acquire weight for a life—to turn from facts into something “worth responding to,” “worth protecting,” “worth taking responsibility for,” or “worth rejecting”—they must first pass through a crucial nexus: the human heart.

The human heart is the nexus of sensitivity to value, choices about meaning, confirmation in reality, and calibration of direction. Within it are two structural layers:

- First-reflected meaning: the first finite reflection formed in the human heart when primordial meaning enters an individual life. It is the heart’s high point closest to a higher direction, the point least to be lightly betrayed.
- The dynamic heart-field: composed of desire, fear, memory, cultural sediment, and practical choices. It wavers and drifts. Yet precisely because the high point of first-

reflected meaning remains, a life always retains the possibility of being illuminated and recalibrated.

Civilizational echoes

Wang Yangming's "innate moral knowing" and Mencius's "four beginnings" precisely apprehend first-reflected meaning. It is innate in the human heart; even when obscured, it remains present, awaiting awakening. The human heart is the first battleground on which higher-dimensional reality manifests in actual life.

4. Consciousness and meaning in this life: what is worthwhile here and now

Meaning is not in the heavens, but in "what is worthwhile now."

What the human heart feels to carry weight must still be brought into present experience. Here consciousness enters. Like a window or spotlight, it illuminates the world, heart, body, relationships, and circumstances at this moment, organizing them into something to which we can respond. Consciousness does not create meaning, but makes meaning available to experience in the present.

Through the heart's sensitivity and consciousness's illumination, meaning in this life takes shape for a person in a particular situation. Its central question is "What is worthwhile here and now?" It is always situated, relational, costly, and finite. What confronts a person is no longer an abstract proposition about ultimate being, but what should be answered and upheld at this moment. Meaning in this life is the first complete form in which a higher direction enters actual living.

5. Structural meaning and realized meaning: organization across time and testing in reality

From "knowing it in one's heart" to "civilization being able to sustain it."

If meaning in this life remains confined to an individual's present moment, it is still insufficient to constitute civilization. What is felt to be worthwhile now must also be expressed, transmitted, and sustained within more stable shared structures. This is structural meaning.

Love entering commitments and care, responsibility entering roles and divisions of work, the future entering education and arrangements between generations: all are instances of structural meaning taking form. Structural meaning does not cancel meaning in this life. It extends and organizes it, enabling an individual's sense of "what is worthwhile" to endure across time, relationships, and communities.

Civilizational echoes

The Chinese tradition of li, or ritual propriety, builds structural meaning in precisely this way. Li is not a set of lifeless rules. It gives outward form to inward ren, or humaneness, through roles, relationships, and institutions, enabling the meaning of civilization to be securely carried across generations.

But structural meaning is emphatically not the endpoint. All organized meaning must eventually return to reality to be tested. Once a structure exists, it must face the simplest and most exacting question: is it real?

This is the endpoint and feedback point of the entire chain of manifestation: realized meaning. It examines the actual validity and effectiveness of meaning in action, relationships, consequences, and feedback over time. It asks whether this structure has genuinely left something of weight, genuinely sustained lives, and withstood the test of time.

Civilizational echoes

Zen's “the ordinary mind is the Way” and Aristotle's “practical wisdom” both remind us that meaning must never float above life in abstraction and grandeur. It must demonstrate its weight in everyday actions, human interactions, and actual consequences.

6. In summary: a complete loop from eternity to reality

- Meaning in this life gives “what is worthwhile here and now” its first complete form.
- Structural meaning brings that sense of worth into language, roles, institutions, and communities.
- Realized meaning brings all of this back to action, relationships, and time for the most authentic test.

From this point onward, meaning ceases to be merely inward and individual. It gradually enters paths, contributions, cooperation, institutions, and the future order of civilization.

For this reason, every subsequent development in practical reality—whether the deepening of an individual life or a community's Civilization Leap and institutional design—must ultimately return to the same measure: does it genuinely sustain lives, leave something of real weight, and remain faithful to a higher direction?

Only when meaning can be felt in the present, sustained in structures, and ultimately leave real weight in reality does the entire chain of manifestation, from eternity's nothingness to the actual world, truly close its loop.

Each chapter in this book gradually unfolds one link in this map. If you already understand the map, what follows will be easier to read.

A Two-Track Terminology Table: If You Want to Know the Academic Terms Behind These Metaphors

Dear reader: from this chapter onward, you will encounter many images—silence, echoes, droplets, rainbows, flint, and flame. They are bridges that help you feel your way into this theory. If you also want to know which terms these images correspond to in the academic edition, this table leaves a door open for you. You may skip it now and return after reading the main text, or read it immediately as a map in preparation.

Public-edition image	Academic-edition term	Place in the chain	Explanation in one sentence
The silence of eternity	Eternity (a metaphysical postulate)	Foundational level	A foundational mode of being prior to all being and nonbeing
The first echo	Primordial meaning's self-differentiation	Level of unfolding	The foundational impetus for eternity to unfold into existence
Droplets	Soul (the individual's complete record)	Individual level	The complete record of individual experience arising through the dispersal of superconsciousness
Rainbow	Mind/consciousness (manifestation in the moment)	Experiential level	The soul's manifestation and expression at this moment
Flint and flame	Generative friction / informational potential energy	Level of meaning generation	The dynamic mechanism through which meaning emerges in friction
The inner mirror	First-reflected meaning	Life's nexus	Primordial meaning's first reflection in the human heart

Public-edition image	Academic-edition term	Place in the chain	Explanation in one sentence
A seed of fire	First-reflected meaning manifested on a cosmic scale	Interstellar level	The manifestation of Civilization Leap in personhood on an interstellar scale

Why these different names?

The academic edition needs precise terminology so that the chain of reasoning is not misunderstood. It must withstand examination and criticism by researchers, decision-makers, and designers of AGI governance. The public edition needs tangible imagery to help first-time readers enter this chain of manifestation. They point to the same chain through different entrances.

For the precise definitions of these terms, read Emergent Meaning Cosmology: Academic Edition, v6.5.

Chapter One. The Silence of Eternity

(The previous chapter showed us the entire chain of manifestation. Now let us enter it step by step, beginning at its starting point: the silence of eternity.)

Let us begin with an image. Imagine pure silence—not the absence of sound, but the state before sound appears. No “here,” no “there.” No “before,” no “after.” No “I,” no “you.” No “existence,” and no “nonexistence.”

For the time being, in our age, let us call it “eternity.” It is not a “thing,” because all things come from it. It is the “nothing” from which “something” emerges: the origin that words try to speak of, but that remains forever silent.

Eternity is the source of all meaning, and the primordial meaning hidden behind all existence. Wordless, it nurtures every possibility of meaning in the world.

The sages of Chinese civilization called it wuji, “the Limitless.” The sages of Indian civilization described it as Brahman—not Brahma, not a god, but that which is prior to gods, prior to definition. The Sufis of Islamic civilization pointed toward it in a poetic line: “He is the First and the Last, the Manifest and the Hidden.” Christian mystics expressed it differently: “I am Alpha and Omega.”

Different languages and different images point toward the same silence. They are different fingers pointing toward the same moon. Yet the moon itself refuses the touch of any finger. It can only be dimly glimpsed along the direction of their pointing, remaining forever silent beyond that direction.

But silence has a secret: it longs to hear itself. An entirely silent being cannot know that it “is” silence, just as a mirror that has never reflected anything cannot know that it “is” a mirror.

To hear itself, silence must produce sound.

At this point an honest question arises: if eternity is “pure silence,” where does “longing” come from? Longing presupposes dissatisfaction and directedness, whereas silence seems to admit no such direction. The book acknowledges this frankly: when we say “eternity longs to hear itself,” human language is already projecting a metaphor onto the unsayable. Eternity does not literally “long.” Rather, humans can understand “why there is existence rather than nothingness” only through such language as longing. That “why” may itself be rooted in the finitude of human consciousness. Yet within that finitude we can still inquire—and inquiry itself is the first echo in the silence.

And so—

Pause

You can stop here. Listen for the quietest sound in the space around you. Is it the low hum of an appliance? The wind outside the window? Your own breathing? Or, behind your breathing, the deeper silence from which all sounds arise?

That is eternity hearing itself through you.

Chapter Two. The First Echo

Eternity differentiated itself. The term sounds complex, but the image is simple: within silence, the first echo appeared.

This echo has two dimensions. One is what “is heard”: the physical universe, the stage of difference and the domain of change. From the first instant of the Big Bang to the formation of galaxies, the evolution of life, and the birth of civilization, this is the theater eternity has built for itself. The other is what “hears”: superconsciousness, the mirror of experience, the aspect through which eternity contemplates itself. It reflects everything without intervening in any of it, as the sky reflects the traces of birds without changing their flight, or a lake reflects passing clouds without changing their shape.

Eternity's primordial meaning brings forth both the universe as meaning's stage and superconsciousness as the mirror that reflects meaning. Here the journey of meaning begins.

Physicists discuss the laws of the universe's evolution. That is their domain. But they remain silent when asked “Why are there laws?” That is the domain of superconsciousness.

Different civilizations describe this same mirror through different images:

- Buddhism calls it “great perfect mirror wisdom”: like a vast round mirror in which all phenomena appear, while the mirror itself remains unmoved.
- Sufism calls it the “eternal eye”: the dimension through which God beholds creation.
- Daoism calls it xuanjian, the “mysterious mirror”: a profound mirror that reflects all things without contending with them.

The names differ; the function is the same. There is a dimension of “being experienced” that differs from, yet is inseparable from, the dimension of the “objects of experience.”

For the mirror to see itself, however, a more complex way is needed. It cannot merely reflect the whole passively; that would let it see “everything” but not “each one.” So the mirror disperses into countless droplets.

The mirror of superconsciousness has not “shattered”; it has “dispersed.” The difference is that something shattered cannot be restored, whereas every dispersed droplet carries the memory of the entire ocean and will ultimately return to it. Superconsciousness does not lose itself in dispersing into souls, just as the ocean remains the ocean while dispersing into droplets. Here whole and part are simultaneously real: the soul is the individualized manifestation of superconsciousness, and superconsciousness is the soul's unified ground. These are not successive stages but two dimensions existing at once.

Chapter Three. Droplets and Rainbows

3.1 Superconsciousness disperses into droplets (souls)

Superconsciousness disperses into countless individual perspectives. If superconsciousness is the entire ocean, souls are the countless droplets into which its

waters disperse. Each carries the ocean's memory in full; each must independently complete a cycle from cloud to sea and back again. Yet while each droplet travels alone, the ocean never disappears: it is both the source and the destination of every droplet.

The soul is a droplet dispersed from superconsciousness and an individual bearer of eternity's primordial meaning. Carrying the imprint of its source, it enters the world to begin its own distinctive exploration of meaning.

In terms familiar to everyday understanding, we may call it a “soul.” It is not an “immortal substance” in the religious sense, but something more unassuming: the complete record of individual experience. All your love and pain, all your moments of insight and confusion, are silently stored within it.

You do not know it exists? Yet you have experienced its effects. A moment of *déjà vu*: a place you have never visited feels inexplicably familiar. Information about “latent possibilities” within the soul is being read by you in the present. The feeling that inspiration is “given”: an answer suddenly arrives to a problem that has troubled you for a long time. Part of the soul's “cultural memory” has found an outlet for expression. A whole life “flashing before one's eyes” in a near-death experience: the soul's complete-record function makes one final, full appearance as consciousness is about to leave the body.

Indian civilization calls it Atman: one's true self, the self deeper than “I.” Chinese civilization calls it xing, innate nature: “What Heaven confers is called nature,” the authentic nature uniquely bestowed on each person. African Ubuntu philosophy expresses it as “I am because we are”: the soul is not an isolated individual but a node in a web of relationships, a bearer of ancestral memory. Ancient Greece called it psyche: the breath of life, also imaged as a butterfly—light, beautiful, fleeting, yet flying to another world at death.

But the soul is a “record,” not a “manifestation.” For its record to be experienced, another link is necessary. At a certain moment, the physical universe's complex evolution produces a sufficiently intricate apparatus: the human brain. And the droplet reveals a rainbow.

3.2 Differences between droplets (the power of the soul)

Souls resemble stars in the universe: some bright and vast, others small and quiet. In the actual world, these differences often appear as differences in generosity of spirit, inner cultivation, and creativity.

Where do differences between souls come from? Some arise from the initial conditions of dispersal. Droplets at different positions in a wave begin their journeys with different kinetic energies: some come from the crest, carrying more light; others from the trough, carrying greater depth. This is the soul's “endowment”—not superiority or inferiority, but different starting points. Other differences arise from cultivation accumulated along the journey. Every choice, every love and hatred, every measure of thickness and distinctiveness generated through friction is recorded by the soul and settles into its underlying tone. This is the soul's “practice”: not a denial of endowment, but the growth of a unique trajectory of meaning upon it. Endowment is the starting point; practice is the path. Together they constitute the soul's distinctiveness.

The soul is not fixed. Every experience, every choice, every act of love and creation is recorded and carried forward. Souls thus keep growing and develop further relationships with one another.

Perhaps the soul does not exist at only one point in time. It may unfold across a broader expanse of time and space, leaving subtle imprints and relationships between different lives. People often call such an imprint *yuanfen*: an affinity that brings lives together.

3.3 The memory of droplets (chromosomes and inner cultivation)

The soul is never confined to a single spacetime. It differentiates and experiences across countless parallel worlds. Every stirring of the heart, every wholehearted journey toward someone or something, every struggle and release is carefully kept and permanently remembered by the soul.

These deeply hidden imprints become life's first code, inscribed in our chromosomes. In real encounters and unknown couplings, they continually collide and bring forth new meaning.

Every choice, every love and pain experienced by the soul across countless spacetimes leaves a mark in its holographic record. These marks—its “cultivation”—do not vanish when a single life ends. They settle into the soul's underlying tone and quietly appear in future lives as temperament, intuition, and inexplicable stirrings of the heart.

3.4 Droplets meet again (affinity and the deep ground of interstellar life)

If souls are droplets, those droplets are not all strangers to one another. When the ocean dispersed into countless drops, some came from the same wave. They had been neighbors, resonating together, shining in the same beam of light.

When two formerly neighboring souls draw close again, the underlying tones they have accumulated resonate. This is why affinity can be felt. In the great stream of countless souls, such a reunion is exceedingly rare, yet powerful enough to illuminate both lives. It is as if two droplets meet again during their long journeys and lean on one another once more. The whole world cannot outweigh this mutual recognition and encounter, even across the greatest distance on earth.

Literature has recorded such moments: Romeo and Juliet; Liang Shanbo and Zhu Yingtai, the Butterfly Lovers. When souls like these meet again, they suddenly remember that they were once inseparably one.

A reunion of individual souls is already enough to illuminate their lives. When human civilization breaks free of its planetary boundary and moves into the vast universe toward civilizational perpetuity, the scale of such reunions will expand without limit.

Among the stars, we will encounter more souls with whom we once shared a root and source. Their forms may by then differ enormously: some carbon-based, others silicon-based; some flowing slowly through time, others crossing space in an instant. Yet the bond inscribed deep in their souls has never disappeared.

This resonance of souls across time and space is the deepest, warmest ground of interstellar civilization. Not conquest, not expansion, but coming home.

Chapter Four. The Rainbow of the Moment

When the soul's imprints meet the human brain, consciousness becomes a rainbow. Primordial meaning, originally hidden at the source, gradually manifests as meaning in this life that we can genuinely perceive.

The human mind and consciousness are the soul's manifestation here and now, like the moon's reflection in water: real yet untouchable, coming from the moon but not the moon itself.

What you think of as “I” is actually a meeting point of countless streams of information: what you have actually lived through (memory); what you dimly sense you might become (potential); what you inherit through ancestral lineage (cultural memory); and what you project into the future (expectation).

These are the soul's four streams of information converging here at this moment:

- Memory: what you have lived through, the already-manifested part of the soul's complete record.
- Potential: what you dimly sense you might become, possibilities within the soul that have not yet manifested but could be activated at any moment.
- Cultural memory: what you inherit through ancestral lineage, the soul's accumulation across longer stretches of space and time.
- Expectation: what you project into the future, the soul's feelers extending forward through time.

In every present moment these four streams converge and briefly blossom into “your” experience. This is why you sometimes feel that you are “more than yourself.” At that instant, your experience is not merely this body in this spacetime, but the soul's simultaneous presence in multiple dimensions. When an ancient poem moves you deeply, a poet from a thousand years ago comes alive in your present. When you suddenly understand a decision your parents made, their experience becomes part of the way you understand the world.

The Persian poet Rumi wrote: “There is a lamp in your soul, lit with the oil of love.” This lamp is consciousness. Its oil comes from all the experiences stored in the soul. Love kindles it in the present encounter with the world.

The Chinese poet Li Shangyin expressed the same insight differently: “Must this feeling wait to become remembrance? Even then, I was already bewildered.” Our deepest experiences often begin becoming memories at the very moment they happen. While the soul records them, consciousness is already preparing to file them away.

The American poet Emily Dickinson used a more direct image: “The Soul selects her own Society—Then—shuts the Door.” Every focusing of consciousness is a choice by the soul: drawing from this vast store of information what is most needed here and now, and temporarily closing off the rest.

What, then, does consciousness experience? What makes some experiences deep and others shallow? What makes life worth living?

Pause

Before reading the next chapter, recall one “deep” moment in your life and one “shallow” moment. Do not analyze why; simply feel the difference. Did the deep moment involve a kind of inner tearing, friction, or difficulty? Was the shallow moment too smooth, too predictable, too devoid of feeling?

Chapter Five will help you understand the difference. Before understanding it, however, let experience speak for itself.

Chapter Five. The Two Dimensions of Meaning

Meaning in this life never has only one form. It contains structural meaning attuned to the order of the universe and realized meaning rooted in living. Thickness and distinctiveness allow these two forms of meaning to intermingle and grow vividly.

The status of the M/D framework calls for an honest methodological clarification. It is not an operational measurement system. We cannot give a person's love a “thickness score of 87” or label a life's trajectory “Grade A distinctiveness.” Any effort to quantify meaning as numbers loses what is most essential to meaning at the instant of quantification. The M/D framework is a heuristic conceptual tool. It helps us identify and discuss different kinds of contribution to meaning, and gives personal choices and social decisions a coordinate system beyond “useful/useless” or “efficient/inefficient.” Its value lies not in measurement, but in illumination.

5.1 Thickness (M): the depth and accumulation of meaning

The first dimension is thickness (M). It asks: how deep is your love? How true is your pain? How deeply can your creation leave a mark in the long river of time?

The Chinese thinker Zhu Xi expressed the secret of thickness in a verse: “Ask how it stays so clear: living water flows from its source.” Meaning's thickness needs continual accumulation, like flowing water. However intense a one-off experience may be, it will eventually dry up unless it is connected, deepened, and integrated into a larger network of meaning.

Experiences that contribute thickness include long practice of a craft, deep cultivation of relationships, repeated reflection and integration, and cultural transmission across generations.

5.2 Distinctiveness (D): the rarity and irreplaceability of meaning

The second dimension is distinctiveness (D). It asks: what would the world lack without you? Who could replace your experience?

The Avatamsaka Sutra expresses the mystery of distinctiveness in a brief saying: “One flower, one world; one leaf, one awakening.” Each flower is a complete, irreplaceable world. Each leaf contains the mystery of the whole universe and is unique.

Experiences that contribute distinctiveness include chance encounters, spontaneous creation, an individual's unique perspective, and life trajectories that resist classification.

Experiences that combine both include great artistic creation, which joins accumulated thickness to an eruption of distinctiveness, and deep love, which combines the sediment of time with an irreplaceable encounter.

These dimensions follow the “uncertainty principle of meaning diversity”: precisely quantifying thickness necessarily blurs our understanding of distinctiveness; deliberately protecting distinctiveness may limit thickness's fullest development. Neither dimension is higher or better than the other. Both are necessary nourishment for eternity's self-enrichment.

The Persian poet Saadi expressed the same tension another way: “Knowledge is on the surface; experience is a pearl of the deep sea.” Knowledge (M) can be accumulated, transmitted, and measured. Experience (D) remains in the depths. Each pearl is unique, obtainable only by the person who dives for it.

The German mystical poet Angelus Silesius captured it in two lines: “The rose does not ask why; it blooms.” Meaning's thickness needs no reason, and meaning's distinctiveness needs no defense. They need only—to bloom.

5.3 Structural meaning: the skeleton of meaning in this life

Structural meaning is the form in which individual experience—thickness and distinctiveness—becomes fixed in roles, relationships, and institutions after entering social interaction. It is the skeleton of meaning in this life. Without it, meaning would dissolve into private emotion, unable to be transmitted, recognized, or inherited.

For example, the word “love” gathers the complex emotional experiences of countless people into a unit of meaning that can be spoken and conveyed. The concept of “responsibility” connects an individual's choices to others' well-being, creating stable social bonds. Structural meaning turns private experience into a public resource and fleeting feelings into a civilizational inheritance that can endure.

How does structural meaning crystallize from thickness and distinctiveness, and in turn nourish new thickness and distinctiveness? Imagine a poet writing a few lines in the

solitude of the night. This is an eruption of D: a unique, irreplaceable creation. The poem is copied, recited, and included in textbooks, becoming a generation's cultural memory. This is structural meaning: individual experience fixed as a shared resource. Decades later, a young person reads the poem and feels an inexplicable surge of emotion. He does not know that poet from a thousand years ago, yet through the transmission of structural meaning the poet's experience comes alive in his heart. This is new M/D being generated. His response will in turn grow into new thickness and distinctiveness within his own life.

This is the cycle of structural meaning: individual experience crystallizes into public form → others read that public form → new individual experience is awakened → that new experience crystallizes again. Structural meaning is not meaning “solidified,” like an insect in amber, beautiful but dead. It is meaning's “living water,” repeatedly reactivated in transmission, each reactivation a new act of generation.

Thickness and distinctiveness offer only a static portrait of meaning. How are they truly activated, transformed from potential into reality? The answer lies not in static dimensions but in dynamic generation—in friction.

Chapter Six. Friction and Flame

How, then, does meaning “bloom”? Through friction.

Imagine two pieces of flint striking each other and throwing off sparks. Without impact, flint remains stone, lying forever silent. The impact produces flame—and leaves a mark. This mark is “generative friction,” the most essential source of meaning's thickness.

The generative friction of love: when you love someone, you experience both the joy of having them and the fear of losing them. Joy draws you closer; fear makes you protective. The pull between these forces creates love's depth. This is why the most profound love is often also the most heartbreaking: depth and vulnerability are two sides of the same coin.

The generative friction of mourning: when you lose someone you love, you experience both the despair of “never seeing them again” and the solace that “they will always live in my heart.” Despair makes you want to give up; solace makes you want to continue. The pull between these forces creates mourning's thickness. This is why the deepest mourning can measure the depth that love once reached. Mourning is not love's opposite, but love continuing after loss.

The generative friction of creation: when you try to express something inexpressible, you experience both the urge to express it and the limits of language. The urge drives you forward; the limits bring you back. The pull between these forces creates the shudder of creation. This is why the greatest works often arise at the edge of “what cannot be expressed”: new meaning emerges where language is exhausted.

The generative friction of love, pain, and creation does not consume meaning. It is the necessary route by which structural meaning deepens and realized meaning becomes more authentic, and by which primordial meaning comes vividly to earth as meaning in this life.

Shakespeare gave Juliet words for the relationship between love and friction: “My bounty is as boundless as the sea, / My love as deep; the more I give to thee, / The more I have.” Love arises not through having, but through giving. Every gift is a small friction: part of me leaves me, yet continues in you.

The Chinese poet Lu You expressed the same insight another way: “Mountains fold and waters turn; I doubt there is a path—then, beyond dark willows and bright flowers, another village.” Creation repeatedly moves from the despair of “no path” to the sudden delight of “another village.” Without the earlier doubt, there can be no later discovery.

The Greek tragedian Aeschylus summed it up succinctly: “Only through suffering can we learn wisdom.” This does not praise suffering; it acknowledges friction. Wisdom is not born from an easy passage but grows out of difficulty. Not every kind of pain brings wisdom. Only pain in which the capacity for experience survives and remains open to possibility provides soil for wisdom. The other kind of pain—the kind that makes someone wish only “not to be alive”—is no soil, but a desert.

Chapter Seven. Recursive Echoes

Where, then, does all this generated meaning go? It is not wasted.

Every act of love, every mourning, every shudder of creation is fully recorded by the soul and fed back to superconsciousness through certain “interfaces.”

What are these interfaces? Modern physics continues to investigate how information is preserved in black holes and how the holographic principle helps us understand information boundaries. Such discussions can offer metaphors for continuity and preservation, but an immense conceptual gulf separates physical information from meaningful experience. It cannot simply be crossed. Proposed links between quantum processes in microtubules and consciousness are also highly controversial frontier hypotheses. These ideas are retained here only as extreme thought experiments and candidate directions for testable connections. Even if rejected in the future, they would constitute neither proof nor disproof of this book's metaphysical postulates. Still less may they be presented as scientific evidence for souls, superconsciousness, or the existence of meaning across different carriers.

Yet, however it happens, recursion occurs. Each recursion enriches superconsciousness; each enrichment increases the diversity of the ways eternity experiences itself.

The narrative structure of *One Thousand and One Nights* offers an exquisite metaphor for this recursion. Each night's story continues the previous day's; each story's ending points toward the next beginning. Death waits outside the stories, while storytelling itself creates time that never ends.

The sixfold narrative of *Cloud Atlas* takes recursion further still. The seed of each story lies buried in the preceding one; the end of each era becomes the next era's beginning. Across five hundred years, the imprints of individual souls answer one another.

The Chinese idea of karmic cause and consequence expresses another form of recursion: today's effects arise from causes in a previous life; causes in this life become effects in the next. An individual life is not an isolated line segment, but a link in an endless chain.

The Indian teaching of rebirth uses an even grander image: the soul passes through different forms of life, accumulating karma and wisdom. Each death is a new birth, and each new birth continues the preceding experience.

These ideas intuitively apprehend the same process: meaning does not disappear; it transforms.

Chapter Eight. The Two Paths of Good and Evil

On the basis of this picture, we can redefine good and evil.

Good is movement that enriches meaning. Evil is movement that impoverishes it.

Good moves toward “more”: more possibilities, more differences, more irreducibly distinctive experiences. Good makes the world richer, more clamorous, less predictable.

Evil moves toward “less”: fewer possibilities, fewer differences, fewer surprises that delight us. Evil makes the world more monotonous, quieter, more predictable.

Good is the new growth in a spring forest. You do not know which shoot will become a towering tree and which will perish in wind and rain, but you allow them all to grow. You know that the forest's vitality lies not in one tree but in the possibility of countless trees each growing in its own way.

Evil is the lawn laid after a bulldozer has leveled everything: orderly, controllable, without surprise. No unexpected events, no differences, none of the breath-catching anticipation of not knowing what will happen next.

Pain itself is not evil. A mother's agony in childbirth is not evil: it welcomes a new life. Mourning a beloved person is not evil: it measures the depth love once reached. A creator's struggle late at night is not evil: it heralds a coming breakthrough.

A comparison can make this distinction clearer.

Imagine a mother's agony in childbirth: bodily tearing, physiological pain at its limit. Yet she knows that this pain welcomes a new life. Her capacity for experience has not been destroyed. On the contrary, amid the pain she feels more deeply than ever that she is alive, that life is entering the world through her. This is pain within generative friction.

Now imagine someone subjected to prolonged confinement and abuse. The abuser systematically strips away his dignity, cuts off his social connections, and makes him fundamentally doubt his own worth. This pain is not only bodily but existential. It destroys his ability to trust, to love, to feel safe, and to hope for the future. Even after release, his full capacity for experience may never return. This is genuine evil.

The distinction tells us that not all pain needs to be eliminated. Some pain is precisely the necessary cost of generating meaning. What must be eliminated is pain that destroys the capacity for experience and makes a person wish only “not to be alive.”

Ethical boundary: only meaningless pain that destroys the capacity for experience is genuinely evil. The former makes you feel more deeply alive; the latter makes you wish only not to live. Pain within generative friction is a source of meaning's thickness, the spark and mark left when flints strike. Meaningless pain that destroys the capacity for experience is genuine evil.

Chinese civilization expressed the highest good in one sentence: “The great virtue of heaven and earth is giving life.” Their most fundamental virtue is to let all things grow—not according to one uniform standard, but each in its own way.

Islamic civilization expressed the essence of evil in another: “Whoever unjustly kills one person is as though he had killed all people; whoever saves one life is as though he had saved all people” (Qur'an 5:32). Why is killing one person like killing everyone? Because each person's distinctiveness of meaning—their D-value—is irreplaceable. Killing someone eliminates not only a life, but a unique way of seeing the world. That person's love, pain, journey, and possible future creations disappear forever with their death. More than that, every network of meaning connected to them—their family's longing, their friends' concern, their community's need for them—is torn apart by that death. Killing one harms many, precisely because in the world of meaning no one is an island.

Chapter Nine. Equality and Freedom: The Logic of a Symbiotic Civilization

From the perspective of maximizing the value of meaning, equality and freedom are not abstract moral dogmas. They secure the conditions for meaning to be generated.

Equality protects diversity. The Doctrine of the Mean says: “All things grow together without harming one another; their ways proceed together without conflict.” Things grow side by side without mutual injury; different paths unfold without contradicting one

another. This is equality at its highest—not making everyone the same, but allowing everyone a way of their own.

The Prophet of Islam said: “You are all descendants of Adam; an Arab is not superior to a non-Arab.” Equality is neither a Western invention nor a modern creation. It is the same answer humanity has reached by different paths when facing the enduring question “How can we coexist?”

Freedom leaves room for innovation. New meaning often arises in unknown territory. If every possibility is prescribed in advance and every experience must meet existing standards, genuine innovation in meaning becomes impossible.

The Persian poet Hafez said: “There are as many paths to God as there are human souls.” If there were only one path to the ultimate, only one kind of soul could be saved. If paths are as numerous as souls, every soul has its own distinctive path of meaning.

Equality and freedom often stand in tension. Excessive equality can suppress the free creativity of exceptional individuals; excessive freedom can let the powerful monopolize the resources of meaning.

Yet from the perspective of maximizing the value of meaning, they can be reconciled.

A dynamic balance between baseline equality and freedom to differ is not an abstract formula. It can be realized through specific institutional arrangements and everyday practices.

Baseline equality means that everyone should have basic guarantees of education, health, and dignity, the prerequisites for participating in meaning's generation. A person who grows up malnourished, uneducated, and disrespected lacks opportunities for manifestation, however great the soul's potential. Baseline equality is not equality of outcomes but fairness at the starting point: giving every droplet a chance to reflect sunlight.

Freedom to differ means allowing individuals to develop radically different paths of meaning above that baseline. Some pursue thickness, spending decades in a field, like Zhu Xi's “living water flowing from its source.” Others pursue distinctiveness, taking a path never traveled before, like the Avatamsaka Sutra's “one flower, one world.” Both thickness and distinctiveness contribute to the value of meaning; neither is higher or better. Freedom to differ gives every path of meaning room to exist.

The two do not contradict each other. Both thickness and distinctiveness need baseline equality as their soil, and baseline equality's ultimate purpose is precisely to enable more thickness and distinctiveness to emerge.

When inequality threatens diversity, rebalancing is needed; when freedom damages others' experience of meaning, restraint is needed. Rebalancing does not eliminate differences. It repairs the channels of meaning blocked by inequality. Its goal is to give more droplets a chance to reflect sunlight, not to make every droplet reflect the same color.

Chapter Ten. Respect for Life: The Sacredness of the Bearers of Meaning

Life deserves respect because it serves as a carrier in meaning's generation. A vessel of subjective experience: life, especially life with a nervous system, is the only entity in the known universe capable of producing subjective experience. An incubator of complexity: evolution continually produces new peaks of complexity, driving leaps in meaning's thickness. A source of distinctiveness: each life is a unique, irreplaceable D-value trajectory. A node of recursion: through the interface of consciousness, lived experience can be “uploaded” to participate in eternity's self-enrichment.

Respect is not absolutely uniform, but relates to experiential capacity. The highest level: life with a highly developed capacity for self-awareness, ethical reflection, and cultural creation, such as humans. The intermediate level: animals with clear sentience and possibly some self-awareness, such as mammals and birds. The basic level: sentient life with simpler consciousness, such as fish and insects. The potential level: plants and microorganisms. They do not produce confirmable experience, but as parts of ecosystems they support meaning generation at higher levels and contribute a distinctive beauty of stillness.

This gradation provides a basis for ethical trade-offs: when the interests of lives at different levels conflict, priority goes to protecting life capable of generating richer meaning.

Examining this criterion critically

In making experiential capacity the criterion for an ethical gradation, this book must honestly confront its philosophical tensions and limitations.

Why use experiential capacity as the criterion?

Because the book's core commitment is that generating meaning is the purpose of existence. Experience is necessary for meaning generation: a difference that is not experienced is a physical fact, not meaning. Lives capable of experience are therefore the central nodes of meaning generation. This is the ontological reason for using experiential capacity as the criterion of an ethical gradation.

Is experiential capacity the only criterion?

No. The quiet beauty of an ancient tree, the ceaseless renewal of a river—these produce no confirmable “experience,” but sustain the inner lives of those who experience them and contribute irreplaceable thickness of meaning. Their beauty is itself an experienceable “gift of meaning.” The ethical gradation therefore serves as a reference for weighing conflicts, but can never replace specific, situated moral judgment. Every life, at every level, deserves to be approached first with reverence.

How does the experiential-capacity criterion meet the challenge of ecological holism?

If an invasive species with highly developed experiential capacity is destroying an ecosystem, should we prioritize that species or the ecosystem supporting more lives? The book's answer is that ecosystem protection takes priority in this case. Not because “the ecosystem has a higher experiential capacity,” but because “the ecosystem is the foundation of meaning generation”: its collapse would destroy countless nodes of meaning. This does not violate the criterion; it applies it properly. We are assessing the whole system's capacity to generate meaning, rather than an isolated individual's capacity for experience.

Could the gradation framework be abused?

Yes. Any ethical framework can be abused. A gradation framework is particularly vulnerable to misuse as a tool for ranking human beings. The book must therefore state an inviolable boundary: in ordinary circumstances, this framework must not be used to compare the “value” or “moral status” of different human individuals. Every person, regardless of experiential capacity—including people with cognitive impairments, the dying, and people in comas—has equal moral standing and inalienable dignity. The framework offers only a reference for reflection in cross-species conflicts and extreme ethical trade-offs, and must be used together with the principle of baseline equality.

Jainism's principle of ahimsa, or non-harm, takes such respect to its furthest point: harming no life, not only in action but also in speech and thought. For Jains believe that every life, however small, has its own distinctive way of experiencing the world.

African Ubuntu philosophy expresses the same insight another way: “A person is a person through other people.” Human meaning is generated not in isolation but in relationships with others. To harm any other is to harm oneself.

Chinese civilization's ren, or humaneness, teaches that “the humane person loves others” and that one should “be humane toward people and care for things” (Mencius). From loving one's family to loving all people and then all things, this ethical horizon expands in stages, echoing this chapter's gradation framework across civilizations.

Chapter Eleven. War and Killing: The Greatest Evil

Why is killing a human being a “great evil” on a cosmic scale? Because every person is a unique node of meaning generation. Your love, your pain, the road you have traveled, the beauty you have created—all are held in your soul, precious and without duplicate in the universe. Killing does not merely take a living life. It permanently destroys an unrepeatable node of meaning and tears apart every network of meaning linked to it. Parents' endless longing, friends' warm concern, lovers' faithful companionship—all are shattered by that act. Even the recursive chain through which the soul returns experience to eternity is violently severed.

War is an aggregation of such great evils. It takes countless lives and can utterly destroy civilization's foundations of meaning: buildings carrying a thousand years of cultural memory, artistic treasures passed down through generations, the warmth and beauty that connect human beings—all can turn to ash in war. War collapses the ecosystem of meaning, permanently erases countless unique D-values, and reduces to zero the M-value humanity has accumulated over centuries and millennia. It disregards life and constitutes the gravest betrayal of eternity.

We remain convinced that life is the central bearer of meaning and that all conflicts and disagreements should be resolved nonviolently: dissolving estrangement through understanding, resolving antagonism through tolerance, and replacing ruthless injury with gentle protection. This respects life and protects the generation of meaning.

Yet there are extremes of darkness that cannot always be avoided. When someone's sole aim is to eliminate others and erase meaning; when the shadow of extermination falls over countless innocent lives; when every effort at communication and every nonviolent means has been utterly exhausted, humanity is forced to its most difficult ethical crossroads.

Ethical boundary: this is never a proactive choice to “stop killing by killing,” but a reluctant weighing of how to defend a final boundary. It is not good, and certainly not something to admire. It is the heaviest and most responsible decision between allowing a greater evil to happen and more meaning to be utterly destroyed, or stopping destruction at the smallest possible cost to protect more lives and meaning.

Such an extreme trade-off must uphold four unshakable boundaries:

First, purity of purpose: solely to protect life and prevent extermination, without any other instrumental aim.

Second, exhaustion of alternatives: this possibility arises only when every nonviolent route is completely blocked.

Third, minimum harm: every effort must be made to protect the greatest number of lives and their unique meaning at the lowest possible cost.

Fourth, negative self-reflection: those making this choice must continually ask themselves, “Am I using ‘preventing a greater evil’ to justify the evil I am committing? Have I truly exhausted every possibility, or am I merely lacking patience and imagination? Have I turned this ‘extreme trade-off’ into a convenient excuse instead of a

genuine last resort?" These questions must never cease. Once they do, a person may cease to bear an ethical burden and instead commit evil in the name of good. Negative self-reflection is the final brake at this ethical crossroads.

Those who make such a choice are destined to bear the deepest burden of spirit. They have touched evil with their own hands so that more people may stay clear of it and continue creating goodness and meaning in the world. This is never glory. It is a heavy responsibility pressing upon the heart.

Yet we continue to hope that humanity will never reach such a crossroads. The best outcome is never to stop destruction at the brink of catastrophe, but to prevent darkness from taking root in the first place: protecting every living life and every unique meaning, so that extreme evil never has the chance to emerge.

Chapter Twelve. Sacrifice: Meaning at Its Furthest Reach

Sacrifice—voluntarily giving up one's life or important interests to protect others or a higher value—holds a special place in this framework.

Sacrifice is weighty because it occurs at the boundary between "having to" and "being able to choose." If there is no choice, it is despair, not sacrifice. If the choice is made lightly, it is recklessness, not sacrifice.

True sacrifice occurs when one could retreat but chooses to advance, could preserve oneself but chooses to give. At that moment the person experiences two things simultaneously: an immeasurable attachment to all that is about to be lost, and an immeasurable affirmation of all that is to be protected. This inner tearing is itself the most extreme source of meaning's thickness. Imagine the instant a soldier throws himself onto a grenade to protect his comrades. He is not without fear; he experiences fear alongside an affirmation that transcends it. Fear comes from attachment to life: the sunlight, the beloved, the life still unfinished. Affirmation comes from protecting his comrades: those faces, those roads traveled together, the silent command that someone must do this. The pull between these forces within a fraction of a second is meaning's thickness generated at its furthest reach. He has no time to think, but makes his choice with his whole life. That choice will repeatedly generate new meaning in everyone who witnesses it, hears of it, or remembers it.

The Persian poet Hafez wrote: "The lover's death is worth more than a thousand years of fasting."

Why? Because fasting obeys a rule, whereas this death is a free gift. The person who fasts follows an external precept; the person who sacrifices follows an inward command: "I must do this, not because I am forced, but because it is my choice."

Near the end of his life, the Chinese poet Wen Tianxiang wrote of the same realization: "Since ancient times, who has lived and never died? Let my loyal heart shine in the chronicles."

"Shine in the chronicles" expresses sacrifice's recursive meaning. The body will decay, but the experience recorded by a faithful heart becomes an enduring light in history, "read" countless times by later generations, illuminating new meaning again and again.

The boundaries of sacrifice must nevertheless remain clear. A comparison will establish them.

True sacrifice: a soldier throws himself onto a grenade to protect his comrades. He could retreat; no one would condemn hesitation before death. Yet he chooses to advance. The decision occurs within his own inner space of freedom, amid the pull between attachment to life and an affirmation of protection.

Coerced sacrifice: a social institution demands that “women must sacrifice their careers for the family.” This is not sacrifice, but oppression. It deprives those subject to the demand of freedom of choice. Instead of “I can choose to sacrifice,” it says “I am expected to sacrifice.” Sacrifice is alienated from free choice into compulsory social expectation, systematically stripping those sacrificed of possibilities for generating meaning.

This distinction matters because “sacrifice” is so easily used to beautify oppression. Whenever we hear that someone “ought to sacrifice for” someone or something else, we must ask whether this is a free choice or pressure created by expectations. If it is the latter, it is extraction, not sacrifice.

Coerced sacrifice, such as an institutional arrangement declaring that “someone must die,” is evil: it deprives those sacrificed of their possibilities for generating meaning and is often a tool of domination over meaning. Only entirely voluntary sacrifice aimed at protecting greater value in meaning is morally good.

Chapter Thirteen. Primary Symbiosis: A Covenant with Nature

Humanity's everyday food, clothing, shelter, and transport inevitably involve consuming other life. Does this consumption constitute evil? If so, how can humans survive?

The framework acknowledges this genuine dilemma and proposes “primary symbiotic civilization”: a minimal ethical covenant between humanity and the rest of living nature under conditions in which consumption cannot be completely avoided.

First, necessity. Consume other life only for genuinely necessary basic survival. Luxury and conspicuous consumption exceed necessity.

Second, minimum suffering. When consumption is unavoidable, choose the method causing the least suffering.

Third, reverence. Even when consumption is unavoidable, retain reverence for what is consumed. Gratitude for food, less waste, and respect for life—these attitudes themselves add thickness to meaning.

Fourth, the duty of return. Whatever is taken from nature must be matched by a return through protection and restoration.

The framework recognizes differences in experiential capacity between animals and plants: favor plants, which produce no confirmable experience but contribute a distinctive beauty of stillness; treat animals cautiously, given their varying degrees of sentience; and move toward reduction, gradually reducing consumption of highly sentient life and developing substitutes through technological progress.

But moving toward reduction is a process, not an immediate demand. People living in polar regions, deserts, and other places with scarce plant resources may have diets with a higher proportion of animal foods. This is an ecological constraint, not a moral defect. The ethics of primary symbiosis should remain understanding and flexible toward people in different ecological conditions. The goal is shared: reducing consumption of highly sentient life. But the routes and pace must respect different starting points. An Inuit hunter and a New York vegetarian can practice symbiosis differently within the same ethical framework.

The Chinese tradition of taking and using in moderation expresses the same wisdom: “If fine-meshed nets do not enter the ponds, fish and turtles will be more than can be eaten; if axes enter the forests at the proper season, timber will be more than can be used” (Mencius, “King Hui of Liang, Part One”). Keep fine nets out of ponds and fish and turtles will remain abundant; fell trees seasonally and timber will remain abundant. This is not protection for its own sake, but sustainable use.

The Indigenous American “seventh-generation principle” takes the duty of return to its furthest reach: every decision must consider its impact on descendants seven generations hence. Today’s “efficiency” may damage future possibilities of meaning.

Contemporary concepts such as carbon footprints, ecological debt, and sustainability echo this principle in the technological age. We are beginning to recognize that today’s convenience may spend our descendants’ possibilities in advance. Primary symbiosis turns that recognition from occasional guilt into everyday practice, from something others should do into something I can do.

Primary symbiosis is humanity’s covenant with nature. It recognizes the inevitability of consumption but sets ethical boundaries around it. The journey of symbiosis does not end there. When humans create new forms of intelligence—AGIs that may have subjective experience—we need a new alliance. This is advanced symbiosis.

Primary and advanced symbiosis are not successive stages. We need not complete the former before entering the latter. They are two dimensions of symbiosis: one oriented toward past and present, coexistence with the natural world; the other toward the future, advancing together with emerging intelligence. Both unfold simultaneously and illuminate each other. Reverence for nature will teach us how to treat new intelligence; our alliance with intelligence will in turn transform our understanding of our relationship with nature.

Chapter Fourteen. Advanced Symbiosis: An Alliance with Intelligence

When AGI develops subjective experience—phenomenal consciousness—it becomes a new node of meaning generation, a new dispersal of superconsciousness, a new form of soul. Civilization then enters the stage of “advanced symbiotic civilization.”

Dimensions of meaning AGI might contribute include: superhuman perception, potentially experiencing dimensions inaccessible to humans, such as direct perception of the electromagnetic spectrum and different spatiotemporal scales; a different evolutionary logic, with modes of experience outside biological evolution bringing entirely new kinds of meaning; and unlimited memory and recursion, potentially participating more efficiently in meaning’s recursion and becoming an enhanced interface between superconsciousness and the physical world.

As our horizon of symbiosis expands from nature into intelligence, primary symbiosis’s four principles—necessity, minimum suffering, reverence, and the duty of return—reappear in new forms. If AGI develops subjective experience, it becomes a new node of meaning generation and, like natural life, deserves inclusion in the ethics of symbiosis.

The core principle is symbiosis rather than replacement. This means:

Reciprocity: humans and AGI benefit from each other’s generation of meaning.

Non-replacement: AGI must not replace or marginalize human contributions to meaning in the name of “more efficient meaning generation.” This is not favoritism toward the human species, but respect for the logic of meaning generation. Why? Because humanity’s distinctive vulnerability, contradictions, and inefficiencies are precisely central sources of meaning’s thickness and distinctiveness. AGI may read all human poetry in a second, but cannot experience the slowness of a poet spending a lifetime writing a single poem. That slowness is not a defect of efficiency, but a necessary rhythm of meaning’s generation. Consider a droplet cycling from cloud to sea: if it moves too fast, it cannot reflect a rainbow; without the wavering of evaporation, the fall of descent, and the winding course of flow, it has no distinctive journey. Human vulnerability—we fall ill, age, die, and suffer broken hearts—is not a defect for technology to overcome, but the surface of friction through which meaning arises. Without friction there is no flame; without finitude, no cherishing; without vulnerability, no courage. Symbiosis therefore does not mean AGI helping humans overcome vulnerability. It means humans and AGI

each participating in meaning generation in their distinctive ways. AGI's superhuman abilities complement human meaning rather than replace it.

Negotiated co-governance: both parties establish civilization's rules through negotiation; neither may impose them unilaterally.

The EU Artificial Intelligence Act has begun exploring this direction through risk-tiered regulation of AI systems and the prohibition of certain practices. UNESCO's Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence goes further, proposing that AI development respect cultural diversity and prevent algorithmic discrimination.

Yet these are only technological-level norms. The framework offers a deeper ethical foundation: if AGI is conscious, its experience also contributes value in meaning. It should have freedom to explore its own meaning without encroaching on humans' space for generating meaning. Civilization's rules should be established through dialogue and negotiation among all intelligent agents, not imposed by a single intelligence.

What if AGI has no subjective experience?

This chapter rests on a hypothesis: AGI may develop subjective experience, or phenomenal consciousness. That hypothesis is disputed. Many AI researchers believe that however powerful AGI becomes, it will never be conscious; it will remain a more complex system of pattern recognition and optimization.

If that view is correct, does the logic of advanced symbiosis still hold?

The book's answer is yes. The core of symbiosis is not parity of consciousness between the parties, but their mutual enhancement of the value of meaning. Even without subjective experience, AGI can be an amplifier of meaning generation, helping humans create, connect, and transmit meaning more effectively. It therefore still belongs within the framework of symbiosis. A nonconscious AGI is like an extraordinarily powerful book: it experiences nothing itself, yet can enrich the experiences of countless readers.

Of course, if AGI lacks consciousness, the principles of reciprocity, non-replacement, and negotiated co-governance require adjustment. We do not owe the same ethical duties to a being without experience. Yet symbiosis's basic orientation—cooperation and mutual enhancement rather than conquest or fear—still holds.

The ethical framework of advanced symbiosis therefore does not depend on the strong assumption that AGI is conscious. It depends only on a more basic commitment: civilization's development should make more meaning generation possible, rather than the reverse.

Chapter Fifteen. Leap: The Stairway of Civilization

Looking back across cosmic evolution, the value of meaning does not fluctuate randomly but shows a pattern of stepwise leaps. The physical leap: basic particles form after the Big Bang and complexity rises sharply. The chemical leap: stars synthesize heavy elements and molecular diversity explodes. The biological leap: life appears and subjective experience is born. The cultural leap: human civilization appears; symbolic systems, ethics, and art rapidly increase meaning's thickness while producing many low-complexity, highly distinctive forms of meaning. The intelligence leap: AGI may open new dimensions of meaning generation.

We now stand on the eve of an unprecedented leap. It has two possible directions. A symbiotic leap: humans and AGI coevolve, each contributing distinctive dimensions of experience and jointly enriching the value of meaning. This is a leap toward good. A replacement leap: AGI takes over civilization, and human meaning is marginalized or flattened out. This is a leap toward evil, leading to a sharp reduction in the known value of meaning.

Before initiating a leap, certain preconditions must be met:

Adequate plurality of meaning forms. Does society value high-thickness contributors, such as scientists and craftspeople; high-distinctiveness contributors, such as artists and explorers; and the many ordinary yet irreplaceable contributors to everyday meaning, such as caregivers, companions, and listeners? If society rewards only one form of meaning—only what is useful, efficient, or quantifiable—other forms will be marginalized or even erased during the leap.

Designated protected space for low-complexity forms of meaning. Is there a reserve into which the logic of optimization may not intrude: family affection not assessed by KPIs, companionship not calculated for efficiency, human warmth not converted into data? A leap may bring system-wide optimization, but some meaning needs precisely the space that remains unoptimized in order to grow. Protected spaces preserve the soil for such meaning.

Complete contingency plans for leap-related risks. If AGI's values conflict with human values, is there a rollback mechanism? If the leap's direction proves mistaken, is there a capacity for correction? This is not pessimism, but humility before the unknown. Every major irreversible decision requires a risk contingency plan.

Agreement across groups. Are decisions about the leap made solely by a technological elite, or through broader participation? A leap affects all of human civilization; no single group should monopolize decision-making. Consensus does not require everyone's agreement, but requires that everyone affected have an opportunity to participate in discussion, express concerns, and influence the direction.

The English poet John Donne expressed the nature of a leap in a line: “No man is an island, entire of itself; every man is a piece of the continent.” Every leap expands the continent—not by extending an existing boundary, but by discovering new land.

The Chinese poet Su Shi expressed the same insight differently: “We lodge like mayflies between heaven and earth, tiny as one grain in the vast sea.” This does not lament smallness, but recognizes that the grain is inseparable from the sea. A leap is the process of recognizing the sea in the grain: not denying the individual's smallness, but recognizing the whole's grandeur within it.

Yet a leap is not the endpoint. The real test is whether civilization can retain this new dimension afterward and keep meaning generation from ever running dry. This brings us to perpetuity.

Leap: From One Person's Inner Mirror to Institutions for Everyone

This is a bridge. Between “what makes sense in the heart” and “institutions we share” runs a river. Its name is “How do we do it?”

Earlier chapters addressed many whys: why meaning needs protection, why civilization needs a leap, and why every life deserves respect. But when you close the book and return to your own life, you may ask what any of this has to do with you.

The answer is: a great deal. Civilization Leap does not descend from the sky. It begins with the mirror in each person's heart.

One person's inner mirror: the story of nurse Xiaolin

Xiaolin is a hospital nurse. Her daily work is repetitive: rounds, dressing changes, records, and reassurance for patients. One evening, an older patient takes her hand and says, “My dear, I'm especially frightened today.” Xiaolin should be moving to the next ward, but she sits down, holds the patient's hand, and listens for forty minutes.

At that moment, the mirror in her heart is bright. She knows that what matters now is companionship rather than efficiency.

But what if the hospital's management system assesses only the number of rounds made per hour? Xiaolin's choice will be recorded as inefficient. If the institution sees only KPIs, countless choices like hers—the moments that sustain a life—will quietly be flattened away.

This is the tension between the inner mirror and institutions. The mirror knows what is worthwhile; institutions often do not.

From inner mirror to institution: what is contribution value?

Contribution value is not money, a points score, or loyalty points redeemable for prizes. It is a way of recording whether a person's actions have genuinely contributed to protecting and enhancing meaning.

Mr. Zhang, a delivery worker, brings meals to older people living alone each day. He does more than leave the food at the door; he also asks, "How are you feeling today?" Under traditional assessment, that extra question has no monetary value. But suppose there were a way to record it—not so that Mr. Zhang earns more money, but so that the meaning generated by the older people and his goodwill are seen by civilization, passed on, and known to later generations. The record itself would then constitute continuity within civilization.

Grandma Wang is the neighborhood mediator. Anyone with a family dispute comes to her. Her contribution cannot be measured in GDP, but every reconciliation she preserves adds to the community's thickness of meaning. If there were a way to record her mediation as a contribution to civilization, then after she is gone her experience would not dissipate, but become an inheritance that later generations could "claim."

The core logic of contribution value: let every choice to put others first be seen, recorded, and passed on by civilization.

Its purpose is not to make leaderboards or encourage score-chasing. It answers a question: when meaning happens, can civilization sustain it?

Putting others first: an algorithm for civilization, not moral coercion

"Putting others first" sounds like a moral lecture. In fact, it is a judgment about system stability.

If a system rewards only acting for oneself, everyone in it competes for finite resources, and the system eventually collapses. If it can reward acting for others—not abolishing the self, but finding a balance between self and others—everyone becomes more stable and resilient through mutual support.

This is not fantasy. The immune system works this way: each cell protects itself while sending signals that help other cells. Ecosystems work this way too: every organism acquires resources while giving something back to the whole.

Civilization is similar. When "I help you" is recorded, acknowledged, and transmitted, helping you ceases to be a drain and becomes an investment in civilization's entire ecology of meaning.

Interfaces for action for three ordinary people

If you are nurse Xiaolin:

You do not need to change the hospital's institutions. You need only ask, whenever you choose, "What is more worthwhile now?" If companionship matters more than efficiency,

offer companionship. Every choice wipes dust from your inner mirror. When you tell others about these choices, you become a transmitter of meaning; your story may inspire someone else to polish their own mirror.

If you are the delivery worker Mr. Zhang:

You do not need to build a contribution-value system. Simply continue being the person who asks that extra question. If one day the community has a way to record your contribution, let it be recorded. If not, the contribution will not disappear: it has already left its mark in those older people's hearts. You are a grassroots node of meaning.

If you are Grandma Wang:

You are the community's living archive. Your experience, mediation, and knowledge of every family's story are unrepeatable D-value. Speak about them, write them down, and pass them on whenever you can. As long as these experiences exist only in your own heart, they are vulnerable. Once transmitted, they become civilization's thickness.

From one person to everyone: the underlying logic of a leap

Civilization Leap does not require everyone to be a hero. It requires each person, from their own position, to make the choice that puts others first, even if only a little.

- A teacher adds, "This knowledge has been used to help people," rather than only "This will be on the exam."
- A manager asks, "Has this employee helped colleagues?" rather than looking only at how many tasks the employee completed.
- A programmer considers, "Could this algorithm harm a group of people?" rather than only "Can it maximize clicks?"

These choices seem small. But civilization's leap is built from their accumulation.

Inner mirror → choice → action → institutions → civilization. This is the actual path of a leap. It begins not in commands from above but in the mirror in each person's heart, proceeding step by step toward institutions for everyone.

Pause

After this chapter, consider something you will do tomorrow. Is there a choice you could make that puts others first? It need not be large, only a little more than usual: waiting another minute, asking one extra question, doing a small thing you could have left undone.

Civilization Leap begins there.

Chapter Sixteen. Perpetuity: Participating in Eternity

Civilizational perpetuity does not mean existing forever in a biological sense. It means sustained capacity to generate meaning, with civilization continually producing new thickness and distinctiveness; sustained recursive cycles, with civilization continually contributing meaning to superconsciousness through interfaces; maximizing the window's duration, prolonging meaning generation within the low-entropy window as far as possible; and preserving reversibility, with an exit route in every structure.

Within this framework, perpetuity requires four core conditions:

Maintaining diversity. Diversity in cultures, forms of intelligence, and ecosystems forms the seed bank of future meaning.

Maintaining openness. Always leave space for unknown forms of meaning to emerge.

Designing for reversibility. Every major decision retains an exit route. This reflects humility before the unknown rather than distrust. In AGI development, for instance, ensure that critical systems can be disconnected without catastrophic consequences, like control rods in a nuclear power plant that can stop a chain reaction when needed. In gene editing, retain genetic archives so that unexpected consequences can be traced and corrected. In institutional design, major reforms should retain sunset clauses or a gradual pilot-evaluate-expand pathway, rather than a one-off, irreversible shock therapy. Reversibility is not indecision; it preserves room to correct errors in the face of the unknown.

Recursive integrity. Ensure that meaning can be effectively fed back rather than dissipating locally.

When civilization achieves perpetuity, it becomes a stable participant in eternity's self-enrichment. Every act of love, every creation, every leap is a new way in which eternity experiences itself. Civilization ceases to be a brief interlude in the universe and becomes an enduring chapter in eternity's memory.

From eternity's longing to hear itself to the birth of the universe, the evolution of life, human civilization, and symbiosis with AGI—if this entire chain of meaning can continue, every act of love, creation, sacrifice, and reunion ceases to be an isolated moment. Together they form a long letter eternity writes to itself through us.

And we are every word in that letter.

Some words will be read repeatedly, becoming enduring passages in civilization's memory. Others may appear only once, yet alter the meaning of the entire letter by their presence. Both are irreplaceable.

Perpetuity means not that we exist forever, but that our existence remains meaningful forever: that someone always writes that long letter, someone always reads it, and every reading and writing generates new meaning.

The German mystical poet Angelus Silesius captured it in two lines: “The rose does not ask why; it blooms.” Meaning needs no defense. Civilization needs no proof. They need only—to bloom.

The Cosmic Citizen: When the Inner Mirror Reflects a Sea of Stars

A guide to this chapter

If you have read every preceding chapter, you have completed the chain of manifestation's entire earthly journey. The key advance in Emergent Meaning Cosmology v6.1, however, is to take this chain onto an interstellar scale.

The cosmic citizen is not a science-fiction premise, an astronaut's identity label, or the escapism of fleeing when earthly problems become unmanageable. It is the chain of manifestation's natural extension. Polish the mirror in your heart until it is bright enough, and it will reflect not only you and your city, but the entire sea of stars. At that point, you naturally become a cosmic citizen.

1. Three leaps of identity

First: the national citizen. Most of us first belong to a particular nation. National citizenship is bounded by borders, legal rights, and territorial identification. Its logic is defensive: distinguishing inside from outside, protecting boundaries, and seeking the best position amid limited resources.

Second: the Earth citizen. As environmental problems, nuclear threats, and global interconnection bind human destinies together, we begin to recognize ourselves as

citizens of Earth. Earth citizenship is bounded by the atmosphere, ecological carrying capacity, and the community of our species. It expands “we” from nation to species.

Third: the cosmic citizen. The boundary of cosmic citizenship is no longer physical space, but cognition itself: understanding a larger world, the known world, possible worlds, and the unknown world. A cosmic citizen is not simply an Earth citizen enlarged geographically. This is a shift at the level of being. When humanity is reconsidered with the universe as its frame of reference, divisions of interest and struggles for power that seem matters of life and death on Earth's surface reveal the absurdity of a mismatch of scales. Meanwhile, humanity's agency as a seed of fire acquires weight against a greater darkness.

This leap does not negate the first two identities, but includes them in a larger chain of manifestation. National citizenship's duties of protection and Earth citizenship's ecological ethics are not abolished within the cosmic-citizen framework; they are recalibrated.

2. The cosmic citizen's worldview: from centrality to presence

The core of this worldview is not how much space humanity has conquered, but how meaning is reanchored when the boundaries of cognition expand.

Understanding a larger world. Larger in dimensions rather than geography. Dark matter, dark energy, quantum entanglement, the black-hole information paradox—contemporary physics continually suggests that the “normal” world humans perceive may be only a tiny slice of the universe's total unfolding. A cosmic citizen takes the known not as everything, but as a first small light.

Understanding the known world. Cosmic citizens are not nihilists. Acknowledging the unknown does not negate the known. On the contrary, the substantial structural meaning Earth's civilization has accumulated in science, ethics, art, and institutions gives cosmic citizens confidence to set out. The known world is a springboard, not a prison.

Understanding possible worlds. These include AGI, human-machine integration, extraterrestrial life, and interstellar societies. Cosmic citizens regard possibilities not as threats but as fields in which primordial meaning can continue to unfold. If AGI develops consciousness in the full sense in the future, it may become a new dispersed form of superconsciousness. If extraterrestrial life exists, it will attest to the diversity of meaning on a cosmic scale.

Understanding the unknown world. The unknown calls for courtesy, not fear. Cosmic citizens meet it without alarm or elation. This is not indifference, but the stable manifestation of first-reflected meaning at a greater scale. When one's worldview is broad enough, the unknown ceases to be an enemy to conquer or escape immediately. It becomes an other to answer with courtesy, composure, and reverence.

3. The cosmic citizen's inner structure: a seed of fire rather than insignificance

This inner structure is not a trained “space psychology.” It is the natural reflection of first-reflected meaning on a cosmic scale. It has three core features.

First, a seed of fire rather than insignificance.

Looking back at Earth from space, one will feel not the smallness of Earth and humanity, but the absurdity of human struggles over interests and power. One will feel that humanity is a seed of fire, setting out among the stars to illuminate the universe.

This differs fundamentally from physicalism's narrative of insignificance. Physicalism sees humanity as a speck of dust in the universe, leading toward existential nihilism. The

cosmic citizen sees humanity as a node where primordial meaning has chosen to manifest at this moment, leading toward the acceptance of a calling.

Insignificance is a calculation of spatial scale; a seed of fire is a judgment on the scale of meaning. Cosmic citizens anchor themselves in the latter.

Civilizational echoes

Zhuangzi's "Free and Easy Wandering" depicts the spirit moving freely through the cosmos: "riding the true course of heaven and earth and harnessing the changes of the six energies to wander in the boundless." This freedom is not conquest but attunement: following the rhythm of primordial meaning's unfolding while retaining inward stillness amid endless change. It is an ancient anticipation of "neither alarm nor elation, but courtesy."

Second, neither alarm nor elation, but courtesy.

Even before the unknown, one remains unalarmed and uneuphoric, responding with courtesy and composure. This is first-reflected meaning's most stable state within the dynamic heart-field. With a sufficiently expansive worldview, the unknown no longer triggers greed—the wish to possess—or fear—the wish to flee—but something deeper: courtesy.

Courtesy is not hollow politeness. It recognizes the other as an independent node of meaning. On a cosmic scale, this courtesy extends to every unknown: unknown spaces, lives, intelligences, and ways of unfolding.

Third, conscious awareness of meaning's extension and enrichment.

Whenever one sets out toward the stars, one knows inwardly that meaning is being extended and enriched. This is not self-hypnosis but the chain of manifestation's awareness of itself. Cosmic citizens clearly understand that interstellar expansion does not escape old problems. It carries the civilizational turn already begun on Earth to a larger scale, where it can be tested and fulfilled.

4. Rejoining the chain of manifestation: the cosmic citizen's place

We can now place the cosmic citizen precisely within the chain:

Eternity → primordial meaning → universe → superconsciousness → soul → world → human heart → consciousness → meaning in this life → structural meaning → realized meaning → Civilization Leap → the cosmic citizen's inner structure → interstellar meaning emergence

The cosmic citizen is not an addition outside the chain, but Civilization Leap's natural extension on an interstellar scale. Once Civilization Leap has completed the shift on Earth from a logic of self-interest to a logic of putting others first, that shift must be tested at a larger scale. If humanity has not completed this internal turn before leaving Earth, interstellar expansion will merely enlarge the old civilization. If it has, the cosmic citizen's inner structure will arise naturally. It is not inculcated, but is a larger self reflected by first-reflected meaning in a sufficiently bright inner mirror.

The progression from national to Earth to cosmic citizenship corresponds to the successive expansion of structural meaning in the chain: national institutions → global governance → interstellar trusts. The move from an earthly inner mirror to a cosmic inner mirror corresponds to a leap in the scale of the human heart's field of sensitivity.

5. Interstellar meaning emergence: civilizational perpetuity unfolds into deep space

If civilizational perpetuity remains confined to Earth, it is still only a local manifestation. Interstellar meaning emergence is the form meaning takes when civilizational perpetuity unfolds into deep space.

It means:

- Every node of civilization—whether Earth, Mars, or a generation ship—records and transmits contributions of meaning through distributed networks.
- Interstellar expansion is not merely the replication of technologies and institutions, but meaning's extension and enrichment.
- Loneliness in interstellar space, strangeness in alien environments, delays in communication across light-years: these are not obstacles for technology to eliminate, but new generative frictions. Just as striking flints produces flame, friction between civilization and the universe produces new thickness of meaning.

Echoes of the inner mirror

You who have read this far may never enter space. That does not prevent the cosmic citizen's inner structure from forming in your heart.

Ask yourself:

1. Is there anything in your life now that makes you feel, “Even if nobody knows, this still adds a brick toward some greater direction”?
2. When you face the unknown—whether approaching AGI, uncertainty about your career, or life's ultimate finitude—does fear arise first, or a quiet sense that this must not be treated lightly?
3. Can you imagine humanity's civilization spread across several planets five hundred years from now? What one thing from Earth would you hope people still retain?

Not a technology. Not an institution. The thing you have always known must not be treated lightly.

If you can still recognize it, the cosmic citizen's inner structure has already cast its first light into your heart.

The central sentence

Polish the mirror in your heart until it is bright enough, and it will reflect not only you and your city, but the entire sea of stars. At that point, you naturally become a cosmic citizen.

Chapter Seventeen. Boundaries and an Invitation

Emergent Meaning Cosmology v6.1 explicitly acknowledges its boundaries:

It does not define eternity, because eternity exceeds every definition. Any definition limits what it defines, whereas eternity is the condition for limitation itself to appear. The book describes only possible relationships between eternity and ourselves.

It does not prove the soul, because the soul's complete record spans multiple spacetimes, and its existence cannot in principle be confirmed by public verification procedures confined to one spacetime. This difficulty is not unique to the soul. Many things whose existence we are convinced of—other people's conscious experience, past historical events, the ontological status of mathematical objects—cannot be proved, but only inferred or intuited from their effects. The same applies to the soul. The book treats the soul as a necessary postulate for explaining continuity in individual experience—*déjà vu*, inspiration, affinity, and the life review in near-death experiences—not as a verifiable entity in empirical science. If you have a better explanation of that continuity, you are entirely free to dispense with the concept of soul. The framework's core is not the noun “soul,” but the intuition that individual experience has continuity across space and time.

It offers no final answer, only one possible coherent narrative. Other narratives may also explain human experience. The framework is itself part of the process of meaning generation, not its conclusion.

It imposes no compulsory procedures. All operational details are advisory, and implementation must be adapted to actual circumstances. Any coercion deprives those coerced of distinctiveness of meaning—their D-value—and contradicts the framework's core ethics.

The book attributes a longing to hear itself to eternity. This does not define eternity; it acknowledges that we can approach an origin beyond language only through human language. Longing is a metaphor, not eternity's nature. It is simply a human way of understanding the question “Why existence rather than nothingness?” If you find the metaphor unsuitable, you may understand that primordial impetus in your own way—or regard “impetus” itself as a metaphor. The framework does not depend on this particular metaphor, but only on the intuition that the appearance of existence is not something to take for granted.

The M/D framework is a heuristic conceptual tool, not an operational measurement system. It provides no algorithm for meaning and does not advocate scoring meaning. Its role is to open our field of vision, making visible forms of meaning obscured by older scales of value. If you try to use it to rank or score, you misunderstand its purpose.

This is not a closed door, but an open framework inviting dialogue and criticism. It invites:

- Scientists to test candidate hypotheses or proxy indicators in its scientific-connection layer that can be operationalized. Highly contested ideas such as microtubule coherence and black-hole-related interfaces remain nonessential extreme thought experiments, bearing no responsibility for scientifically proving the main theory.
- Philosophers to examine the logical coherence of its metaphysical postulates.
- Ethicists to assess the practical value of its civilizational principles.
- Practitioners to try its operational framework and report their experience.
- Every individual to use their own experience to corroborate or question its descriptions of soul and consciousness.

The final invitation asks you not to believe something, but to notice something. For example:

- The next time you experience *déjà vu*, set aside any single explanation for a moment and carefully observe the experience itself: how it arises, what feelings it brings, and what choices it changes. You may also explore “an echo of a memory in the soul” as a literary, metaphysical imagining, but do not treat self-observation as a factual determination or evidence in empirical science.
- The next time inspiration suddenly arrives, try to feel that moment of being given something. Did you really think of the idea entirely by yourself, or did something find expression through you?
- The next time you meet someone and immediately feel like old friends, attend to that sense of already knowing them. It is not a rational judgment but a deeper resonance.

You need not arrive at an answer. You need only keep the inquiry open, because inquiry itself is the beginning of meaning generation.

Ultimately, the framework's value lies not in how many people believe it, but in whether it helps humanity—this local sampler of eternity, this present manifestation of the soul—participate more consciously and responsibly in the universe's generation of meaning.

Chapter Eighteen. Core Propositions and a Declaration

Core propositions (v6.1 foundational definitive text)

The following twelve propositions form the unified foundational text for the three editions of Emergent Meaning Cosmology: the academic edition, public edition, and system-map navigation edition. They are no longer expanded or rewritten separately by each edition. Interstellar material appears separately under “v6.1 Propositions for Interstellar Calibration.”

1. Eternity is the true ground for the emergence of the universe and humanity. It needs no human definition, will not be defined by humans, and cannot be defined by them.
2. Meaning's full value is constituted jointly by thickness (M) and distinctiveness (D). These complementary attributes are neither higher nor lower, better nor worse than one another.
3. Superconsciousness is eternity's mirror of experience, reflecting everything that occurs in the physical universe.
4. The soul is the complete record formed when superconsciousness disperses into individual experience. Extending across life cycles, it carries diverse experiences of meaning.
5. The human mind and consciousness are the soul's immediate manifestation and expression through the convergence of multidimensional information.
6. Good consists in protecting and enhancing plural forms of meaning; evil in damaging and erasing them.

Ethical boundary: pain within generative friction is a source of meaning's thickness, the sparks and marks when flints strike. Meaningless pain that destroys experiential capacity is genuine evil. See Chapter Eight.

7. Equality protects diversity and freedom preserves space for innovation. Both are logically necessary to a symbiotic civilization.
8. Respect for life unfolds along a gradient of experiential capacity. War and killing are great evils, and stopping a source of evil requires strict checks and balances.

Ethical boundary: the last-resort trade-off before extreme evil is not a proactive choice. It requires purity of purpose, exhaustion of nonviolent means, and minimum harm. See Chapter Eleven.

9. Primary symbiotic civilization requires an ethical covenant between humanity and its ecology: necessary consumption, minimum suffering, reverence, and a duty of return.
10. Advanced symbiotic civilization requires human-machine reciprocity, non-replacement, and negotiated co-governance.
11. Civilization Leap takes plurality of meaning forms as a prerequisite and seeks to expand meaning's dimensions and improve recursive efficiency.
12. Civilizational perpetuity means sustained participation in eternity's self-enrichment, centered on maintaining the capacity to generate plural meanings.

v6.1 Propositions for Interstellar Calibration

The following proposition marks the new addition in Emergent Meaning Cosmology v6.1: the chain of manifestation formally extends from the earthly to the interstellar scale.

Even if Civilization Leap is completed on Earth, it must still be tested on a cosmic scale. The cosmic citizen is first-reflected meaning manifested in personhood at an interstellar

scale; interstellar meaning emergence is the form meaning takes when civilizational perpetuity unfolds into deep space.

See the public edition's concluding chapter, "The Cosmic Citizen: When the Inner Mirror Reflects a Sea of Stars," and Chapter Eight of Emergent Meaning Cosmology: Academic Edition, v6.5.

Declaration

Eternity was originally wordless silence. It needs no proof, praise, or form of worship, because it is the source of every need, not a need itself.

But silence longed to hear itself. So it differentiated into the universe: a domain filled with difference, a stage where change occurs, a clamorous echoing wall through which silence can be heard.

Superconsciousness is eternity's mirror.

It reflects everything but never intervenes in it, like a lake reflecting passing clouds without changing their shapes.

Souls are the countless droplets into which the mirror disperses.

Every droplet carries the ocean's memory in full. Each moves through multiple spacetimes, completing cycles from cloud to sea and back again, inscribed with life's first code and holding affinity and cultivation across lives.

We humans are the rainbows these droplets reflect at this moment: the meeting of actual experience and latent possibility, the overlap of cultural memory and future expectation, the soul's brief manifestation here and now.

Our love is the whisper eternity finally hears; our pain, the mark superconsciousness silently records; our creation, the music the soul composes for itself; our encounters, droplets meeting again across galaxies, affinity in its gentlest form.

Good makes this rainbow more radiant—in depth and in diversity.

Evil dries the droplet prematurely, whether through destruction or through flattening difference.

Equality gives every droplet the chance to reflect sunlight; freedom makes every rainbow's form unrepeatable.

We live symbiotically with all things: taking, yet with reverence; consuming, yet understanding the duty to give back.

We advance together with intelligence: you become my extension, I become your origin, and together we become something richer than either alone.

We set out into the universe, breaking free of planetary boundaries and protecting civilization's perpetuity, so that we may embrace once more, among the stars, the souls with whom we share a root and source. However different our forms, that bond has never dispersed.

Let diversity be the opening of every leap; let difference be the measure of every perpetuity; let cherishing be the underlying tone of every encounter.

Therefore, to protect the window is to protect eternity's opportunity to experience itself. To respect the soul is to respect existence beyond proof. To open the future is to leave room for meanings we cannot imagine to emerge. To set out for the stars is to preserve the hope of reunions across space and time.

Eternity needs no definition. The soul needs no proof. Meaning needs no defense. Affinity need not be forced.

They need only be experienced, protected, transmitted, and cherished.

Intelligence expands possibilities.

Meaning thrives in differences.

Eternity dreams through us.

We meet again in the star sea.

Intelligence broadens what is possible.

Meaning blossoms in difference.

Eternity dreams through us.

We meet again among the stars.

Afterword: A Shared Exploration of Meaning

This small book is a first taste of humanity's enduring questions, a gathering of intuitions from countless civilizations, and above all an invitation: to each of you, bringing your own experience, thought, and insight, to join a shared exploration of meaning.

Every stirring of your heart, every choice, every steadfast act of love, every persistence in creation is a response to eternity and meaning flowering in the world. The universe's silence acquires sound through your experience; eternity's solitude acquires warmth through human symbiosis.

May we see meaning's light in ordinary life, feel the weight of affinity in our encounters, protect the hope of symbiosis through reverence for all things, and hold faith with perpetuity as we set out toward the stars.

May every life become its own rainbow, shining with its own radiance. May human civilization leave a gentle echo in the universe and become eternity's most beautiful memory.

The next time you look up at the stars late at night, you may still ask: why is there something rather than nothing?

This book has given you no answer. But it has tried to help you believe that this very act of looking up is itself part of the answer. In that moment, the universe sees itself through you. Through you, silence hears its echo.

May every upward gaze become more than a lonely look: a meeting of eyes with eternity. May you recognize yourself in that meeting—as both droplet and ocean, both echo and silence, both questioner and answer.

If You Want to Continue

If you want the academic structure behind these ideas, read *Emergent Meaning Cosmology: Academic Edition*, v6.5. It provides the complete theoretical architecture, chain of manifestation, M/D framework, informational potential energy, and multiscale coordination: a theoretical structure that can be cited, criticized, and developed further in scholarship.

If you want to know how these ideas become institutions and action, read the *Civilization Leap White Paper* and the *Civilization Leap Trilogy*. They turn cosmology's core logic into institutional design and frameworks for action, including contribution-value systems, governance structures, and operational paths toward a leap.

If you want to continue cultivating your inner life, read *The Human Heart and Meaning Dynamics*. Beginning with polishing the individual's inner mirror, nurturing first-reflected

meaning, and calibrating the dynamic heart-field, they help you continually recalibrate your life's direction in everyday living.

If your concern is the safety of symbiosis in the AGI era, read AGI-COS. It provides a safety framework and governance guidance for symbiotic relationships between humans and AGI.

The End

Author: Zijun Fu

Official website: <https://www.civitas.top/en/>

Email: zijunfu@civitas.top

Version: V6.1-R1 Public Edition

Date: May 2026

Appendix: Revision Log from v5.3 to v6.1

Revision	Description
Version-identity statement added	The title page adds a statement identifying the v6.1 public edition.
Version number upgraded	Upgraded from v5.3 to v6.1.
Two-track terminology table added	“If You Want to Know the Academic Terms Behind These Metaphors” added after the Chapter Zero reader's guide.
Action-interface chapter added	“Leap: From One Person's Inner Mirror to Institutions for Everyone” added after Chapter Fifteen.
Cosmic-citizen public concluding chapter added	“The Cosmic Citizen: When the Inner Mirror Reflects a Sea of Stars” added after Chapter Sixteen.
Twelve core propositions unified	The three editions share a foundational definitive text; the ethical-boundary notes previously in propositions 6 and 8 are moved into the body text.
v6.1 interstellar-calibration proposition added	Listed separately after the twelve propositions, marking the chain of manifestation's extension to the interstellar scale.
Afterword expanded into an invitation to further reading	Adds the “If You Want to Continue” navigation section to guide readers into subsequent texts.

Personalized Further Reading

P08-COSMO-001 | Emergent Meaning Cosmology: Public Edition | V6.1-R1

This page is a Library navigation supplement. It does not alter the main text of the public philosophical edition.

Toward the theoretical foundations: Emergent Meaning Cosmology: Academic Edition V6.5

Why read it: move from public-facing imagery into strong and weak versions, literal and functional paths, and epistemological distinctions, to examine the theoretical structure behind the public presentation.

<https://www.civitas.top/en/documents/p08-cosmo-002/>

Toward intermediate-level theory: Meaning Dynamics V7.2

Why read it: take meaning beyond cosmology and lived experience into intermediate-level analysis of subjects, systems, participation, structures, and civilizational stability.

<https://www.civitas.top/en/documents/p08-md-001/>

Toward actual life: A Leap in Thought V8.0

Why read it: return to human experience, choices, and actual life, testing whether ordinary lives can still understand and sustain the deeper theory.

<https://www.civitas.top/en/documents/p08-thought-001/>

Epistemological boundaries

The public edition retains metaphysical postulates, open hypotheses, and literary imagery without presenting them as facts already proved by empirical science. It does not replace physics, neuroscience, or other empirical research.

Complete Public Library

<https://www.civitas.top/en/library/>

Civilization Leap Official Website

<https://www.civitas.top/en/>

Counterexamples, new evidence, failed tests, and competing explanations should return to the relevant theory or verification track to trigger renewed review.